

Swantje Sundt and Katrin Rehdanz

CONSUMER'S WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR GREEN ELECTRICITY: A META-ANALYSIS OF THE LITERATURE

Swantje Sundt: Kiel Institute for the World Economy,
D-24100 Kiel, Germany

Phone: +49 (0) 431 8814 408, e-mail: swantje.sundt@ifw-kiel.de

Katrin Rehdanz: Kiel Institute for the World Economy
D-24100 Kiel, Germany

Phone: +49 (0) 431 8814 407, e-mail: katrin.rehdanz@ifw-kiel.de

Overview

Many industrialized countries have ambiguous renewable energy targets to mitigate climate change and/or to gain independence of fossil fuel imports. At present, electricity generated from power plants using renewables is more costly compared with those using conventional fuels. The difference is paid by the consumers either directly or indirectly through a higher price for renewable energy or indirectly through taxes. As a response to this, a number of studies have investigated consumer's willingness to pay (WTP) for a higher share of renewable energy in the electricity mix. Using a meta-analysis of the current literature, we quantify global differences in WTP estimation with the help of a meta-regression. Based on these results, we perform a value transfer to discuss the necessity of further studies which elicit WTP for a higher renewable energy share in the electricity mix.

Method

We gain 85 WTP observations from 18 studies to perform a meta-regression. We suspect a simple semi-log linear relationship between WTP per household and month, as well as country and study specific effects. Since there are no remarkable panel effects, which might be due to multiple values per study, we use a weighted ordinary least squares regression with robust standard errors. To adjust for bias through residential electricity consumption and household size, we perform a second regression on WTP per kilowatt-hour.

By using an *n-1* data splitting technique, we predict 85 out-of-sample WTPs, which are based on 85 different meta-regressions. To verify the validity of this value-transfer technique, we directly compare these predicted WTP values with their "observed" values. For this, we perform a t-test if the mean difference of predicted and observed values is equal to zero. Additionally, we investigate the significance of Pearson's correlation coefficient. Further, we calculate the absolute (percentage) error, and finally, we conduct a regression of the observed on the predicted WTP to test if the coefficients of this estimation have desirable sizes; that is, the constant equals zero and the coefficient of predicted WTP equals one.

Results

A higher renewable energy share in electricity generation increases WTP, whereas a higher hydro power share decreases WTP. If a study is conducted in the US, WTP increases per household and month. But, this effect vanishes if we adjust WTP for residential electricity consumption per capita, which is at least twice as high as the other investigated countries, but Finland. Same holds for different stated preference methods - in our case only contingent valuation or choice experiments - used to elicit WTP. While a contingent valuation study increases WTP compared to a choice experiment if the unit is US\$ per household and month, the effect is insignificant if the unit is US-Cents per kilowatt-hour.

Further, WTP decreases if the status quo scenario is not well defined; that is, the power plant to be substituted by renewables is not defined. The effect, if an exploratory variable is included in study's initial WTP estimation, has the same direction as the effect in the initial estimation; e.g. we find that in the literature, income always has a positive effect on WTP, thus, if income is included in study's initial regression, WTP increases as well.

At first glance, the mean absolute percentage error (*MAPE*) of our value transfer is pretty high compared to other meta-regression value transfers. But, the high absolute percentage values are caused by WTP observations measuring an increase in biomass. This is, because WTP for biomass has a high range of values, which cannot be explained by our meta-regression, since there are study specific scenarios, which, additionally, influence the acceptance of biomass.

Eliminating observations which measure an increase in biomass and additionally excluding a WTP value, which measures a climate change mitigating policy, leads to more desirable results. Median absolute percentage error is below 30% and *MAPE* ranges between 34% and 43%. Absolute error of value transfer is about 3US\$ per household and month and about 0.8 US-Cents per kilowatt-hour.

Conclusions

We show that, with the help of a meta-regression, it is possible to perform a practically feasible forecast of WTP for a higher share of renewable energy in the electricity mix, at least for our sample. Preferences for biomass vary, so they have to be excluded from value transfer to avoid absolute percentage errors larger than 200%. So, if a policy maker needs a hint, which WTP he can expect for his country, it is feasible to use our meta-regression function and approximate it. Since, there is no underlying study design; one can discuss to set either all study values to zero or to one.

References

- Aldy, Joseph E., Matthew J. Kotchen, and Anthony A. Leiserowitz. "Willingness to pay and political support for a national clean energy standard." *Nature Climate Change*, August 2012: 596-599.
- Aravena, Claudia, George W. Hutchinson, and Alberto Longo. "Environmental externalities from different sources of electricity generation in Chile." *Energy Economics*, 2012: 1214-1225.
- Bigerna, Simona, and Paolo Polinori. "Italian Consumers' Willingness to Pay for Renewable Energy Sources." *USAEE-IAEE WP 11-094*, 2011.
- Bollino, Carlo Andrea. "The willingness to Pay for Renewable Energy Sources: The Case of Italy with Socio-demographic Determinants." *The Energy Journal*, 2009: 81-96.
- Borchers, Allison M., Joshua M. Duke, and George R. Parsons. "Does willingness to pay for green energy differ by source?" *Energy policy*, 2007: 3327-3334.
- Brouwer, Roy. "Environmental value transfer: state of the art and future prospects." *Ecological Economics*, 2000: 137-152.
- Cicia, Gianni, Luigi Cembalo, Teresa Del Giudice, and Andrea Palladino. "Fossil energy versus nuclear, wind, solar and agricultural biomass: Insights from an Italian national survey." *Energy Policy*, 2012: 59-66.
- Gracia, Azucena, Jesús Barreiro-Hurlé, and Luis Pérez y Pérez. "Can renewable energy be financed with higher electricity prices? Evidence from a Spanish region." *Energy Policy*, 2012: 784-794.
- Hanemann, Michael, Xavier Labandeira, and Maria L. Loureiro. "Climate change, energy and social preferences on policies: exploratory evidence for Spain." *Climate Research*, 2011: 343-348.
- Kaenzig, Josef, Stefanie Lena Heinzle, and Rolf Wüstenhagen. "Whatever the consumer wants, the consumer gets? Exploring the gap between consumer preferences and default electricity products in Germany." *Energy Policy*, 2013: 311-322.
- Kim, Jihyo, Jooyoung Park, Jinsoo Kim, and Eunnyeong Heo. "Renewable electricity as a differentiated good? The case of the Republic of Korea." *Energy Policy*, 2013: 327-334.
- Komarek, Timothy M., Frank Lupi, and Michael D. Kaplowitz. "Valuing energy policy attributes for environmental management: Choice experiment evidence from a research institution." *Energy Policy*, 2011: 5105-5115.
- Kosenius, Anna-Kaisa, and Markku Ollikainen. "Valuation of environmental and societal trade-offs of renewable energy sources." *University of Helsinki, Department of Economics and Management, Discussion Papers n:o 59*, 2012.
- Nomura, Noboru, and Makoto Akai. "Willingness to pay for green electricity in Japan as estimated through contingent valuation method." *Applied Energy*, 2004: 453-463.
- Solino, Mario, Mariá X. Vázquez, and Albino Prada. "Social demand for electricity from forest biomass in Spain: Does payment periodicity affect the willingness to pay?" *Energy Policy*, 2009: 531-540.
- Stanley, Tom D. "Wheat From Chaff: Meta-Analysis As Quantitative Literature Review." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2001: 131-150.
- Yoo, Seung-Hoon, and So-Yoon Kwak. "Willingness to pay for green electricity in Korea: A contingent valuation study." *Energy Policy*, 2009: 5408-5416.
- Zhang, Lei, and Yang Wu. "Market segmentation and willingness to pay for green electricity among urban residents in China: the case of Jiangsu Province." *Energy Policy*, 2012: 514-523.
- Zografakis, Nikolaos, Elli Sifaki, Maria Pagalou, Georgia Nikitaki, Vasilios Psarkis, and Konstantinos P. Tsagarakis. "Assessment of public acceptance and willingness to pay for renewable energy sources in Crete." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2010: 1088-1095.