

# LEAP Modeling of Energy Consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> Mitigation Potential of Turkish Iron and Steel Industry

Seyithan Ahmet Ates  
Management Faculty, University of THK/Turkey  
Corresponding Author: sates@thk.edu.tr

## ABSTRACT

Energy management is considered as a crucial way to increase energy efficiency, reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and decrease energy costs. With assistance of techniques, processes and activities which promote more efficient energy use, energy management results in lower costs, carbon emissions and risks, while simultaneously guaranteeing more efficient energy consumption. International Energy Agency report stated that Turkey has one of the most energy intensive industries among the OECD countries, which requires to be lowered. Turkish economy is more energy intensive (0.35 toe) compared to an OECD average of 0.20 toe. A considerable part of energy consumption is met by imported oil and gas (which results in a huge budget deficit in the Turkish economy) indicate the Turkish industry must urgently improve its energy efficiency and introduce systematic ways of energy conversion, such as Energy Management Standards.

Given the need to reduce energy intensity and the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Turkish Industry, it is crucial for stakeholders and policy makers to know, what is the economic potential of energy management activities and how can these methods contribute to lower GHG emissions.

In this study, with the help of an Energy Modeling Software, The Long-Range Energy Alternatives Planning System (LEAP), we have analyzed economic potential for energy efficiency and CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction in case of EM application. This study relies on four scenario analysis with an aim to investigate the effects of different degree of energy efficiency activities on energy intensity and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the industry. By introducing more than one scenario, we were able to compare alternative development pathways. The four scenarios, applied in this study are: Business-as-usual Scenario (BAU), Accelerated Energy Efficiency Improvement Scenario (AEI), Cleaner Production and Technology Scenario (CPT) and Slow-Speed Energy Efficiency Improvement Scenario (SEI). These scenarios are based on “what-if” questions, for instance, what-if best available technologies (BAT) are put into practice. Application of benchmarking in the field of energy modeling has increased recently since it is considered a substantial tool for evaluating energy performance and energy efficiency activities by comparing with other entities.

In this study, scenarios are considered as basis roadmaps for establishing appropriate mechanism and plans for future energy management activities. By including BAT and best practicing technologies into the scenario analysis, we seek to highlight the correlation between the energy management practices and the implementations of technical best practices. Respected scenarios estimate the reduced energy compared to BAU projection of energy consumption in iron and steel industry in Turkey from 2010 to 2030.

When considering energy consumption, energy management application and energy intensity figures, some key sectors are particularly concerned in Turkey. However, the scope of this scenario analysis does not allow us to identify more than one industrial branch since each industry has unique parameters and should be evaluated accordingly. As the one of the most energy consuming sector in Turkey, iron and steel sector consume 19.7% of total energy utilized by industry.

To sum up, main objectives of the LEAP scenario analysis are as follows;

- Developing and applying 4 scenarios and future estimations of energy efficiency and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction potentials through to 2030 by using energy modeling software, LEAP.

- Identify the current energy management performance of Turkish energy intensive industries
- Estimate the economic potential for energy management activities in case of BAU, AEI, CPT and SEI
- Estimate CO2 emission reduction potential in case of BAU, AEI, CPT and SEI

It is expected that this study will fill knowledge gaps pertaining to energy efficiency potential in Turkish energy intensive industries and help stakeholders in energy intensive industries to realize potentials for the huge energy efficiency GHG mitigation.

Parallel to energy consumption, GHG emissions are also assumed to rise significantly. Through structural adjustment, energy management standard ISO 50001 and governmental supports, varying degrees of energy efficiency improvement can be achieved. With the assistance of the LEAP modeling, we have found that the energy intensity rate can be lowered by 13%, 38% and 51% percent through introducing anticipated mechanism of the scenarios, SEI, AEI and CBT, accordingly. Particularly the projected aggregated energy savings of the scenarios CPT and AES are very promising with the saving rates of 33.7% and 23% accordingly. The contribution of the improvement in the energy efficiency is not limited to economical savings. For the iron and steel industry, declining in energy intensity corresponds also strengthening competitiveness in the international market and sinking GHG emissions. The saving potential of CPT scenario, 33.7%, highlight the fact that proposed tools for increasing management capacity of energy, such as ISO 50001 and setting up an energy management center in the mills, are of crucial priority in terms of implementing structural measures and achieving permanent energy efficiency.

Compared to baseline scenarios, energy efficiency improvements correspond to economic potential of 0.1 billion dollars for SEI, 1.25 dollar for AEI and 1.8 billion dollar for CBT scenarios. Concerning GHG emissions, in 2030 the iron and steel industry in Turkey is estimated to produce 34.9 MtCO<sub>2</sub> in BAU, 32.5 MtCO<sub>2</sub> in SEI, 24.6 MtCO<sub>2</sub> in AEI and 14.5 MtCO<sub>2</sub> in CPT scenarios which corresponds to savings of 9 to 39 percent. However, in the case of CPT, thanks to the wide range of anticipated technologies and energy efficiency improvement by corporate energy management, CO<sub>2</sub> emission figure is projected to decrease by 25.6% to 14.5 MtCO<sub>2</sub> in 2030. The experiences gained from various energy efficiency projects illustrate that not sustainable, one-time projects don't bring the desired outcomes after a period of time, if they are not monitored and adjusted in a continuous manner<sup>183</sup>. Taking into account this fact and the potential of energy management mentioned before, it is considered as the crucial challenge for Turkey in the eye of post Kyoto Protocol process, to facilitate energy management improvements in energy intensive industries, particularly in iron and steel industries by introducing structural and legal adjustments and financial incentives.

## Bibliography

1. Ates, S.A. and N.M. Durakbasa, *Evaluation of corporate energy management practices of energy intensive industries in Turkey*. Energy, 2012. **45**(1): p. 81-91.
2. Agency, I.E., *Energy Policies of IEA Countries: Turkey 2009*: OECD Publishing.
3. UNIDO, *Global Industrial Energy Efficiency Benchmarking - An Energy Policy Tool*. 2010.
4. TÜBİTAK *TTGV Bilim Teknoloji Sanayi Araştırmaları Raporu (TUBITAK Report: Analysis on Science, Technology and Industry)*. 1995.
5. Worldbank, *Report:Tapping the Potential for Energy Savings in Turkey*. 2011.
6. TISPA, *Report on Iron and Steel Industry in Turkey 2010*, (Turkish Iron and Steel Producers Association): Ankara.
7. Phdungsilp, A. and T. Wuttiornpun, *Modeling Energy Consumption and CO2 Emissions in Thailand's Manufacturing Sector*. AIJSTPME, 2010. **3**(2): p. 29-35.

8. Cai, W., et al., *Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenarios and mitigation opportunities in China's five sectors in 2020*. Energy Policy, 2008. **36**(3): p. 1181-1194.
9. Saygin, D., et al., *Benchmarking the energy use of energy-intensive industries in industrialized and in developing countries*. Energy, 2011. **36**(11): p. 6661-6673.
10. Wang, K., et al., *Scenario analysis on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction potential in China's iron and steel industry*. Energy policy, 2007. **35**(4): p. 2320-2335.
11. Lazarus, M., C. Heaps, and P. Raskin, *LEAP Long-range energy alternatives planning system*. Stockholm Environmental Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, 1997.
12. Dilaver, Z. and L.C. Hunt, *Industrial electricity demand for Turkey: a structural time series analysis*. Energy Economics, 2011. **33**(3): p. 426-436.
13. Cimen, S.D.Ç.Ü. D., *TÜRKİYE VE İKLİM DEĞİŞİKLİĞİ ÇALIŞTAYI (Workshop on Turkey and Climate Change) 2008*, Chambers of Iron and Steel Producers.
14. Thollander, P. and M. Ottosson, *Energy management practices in Swedish energy-intensive industries*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2010. **18**(12): p. 1125-1133.
15. Caffal, C., *Energy management in industry. Centre for the Analysis and Dissemination of Demonstrated Energy Technologies (CADDET)*. Analysis Series, 1995. **17**.
16. Patterson, M.G., *What is energy efficiency?: Concepts, indicators and methodological issues*. Energy policy, 1996. **24**(5): p. 377-390.
17. Siitonen, S., M. Tuomaala, and P. Ahtila, *Variables affecting energy efficiency and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the steel industry*. Energy Policy, 2010. **38**(5): p. 2477-2485.
18. Safaai, N.S.M., et al., *Projection of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Malaysia*. Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy, 2011. **30**(4): p. 658-665.
19. Jelić, D.N., et al., *Review of existing energy management standards and possibilities for its introduction in Serbia*. Thermal Science, 2010. **14**(3): p. 613-623.
20. Kratena, K. and I. Meyer, *CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Embodied in Austrian International Trade, 2010*, FIW.
21. Akbostancı, E., G.İ. Tunç, and S. Türüt-Aşık, *CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of Turkish manufacturing industry: A decomposition analysis*. Applied Energy, 2011. **88**(6): p. 2273-2278.
22. Smyth, R., P. Kumar Narayan, and H. Shi, *Inter-fuel substitution in the Chinese iron and steel sector*. International Journal of Production Economics, 2012. **139**(2): p. 525-532.