# 150 Years of Italian $CO_2$ Emissions and Economic Growth

BARBARA ANNICCHIARICO<sup>1</sup>, ANNA RITA BENNATO<sup>2</sup>, EMILIO ZANETTI CHINI<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics, Law and Institutions, "Tor Vergata" University of Rome <sup>2</sup>Centre for Competition Policy, University of East Anglia

## 1 Overview

Recently, after a period of prolonged low growth, Italy has found itself at the center of an acute economic crisis. After having turned the corner, from managing the crisis to adopting an intensive reform agenda to boost competitiveness and promote growth, at present the Italian economy is still facing several economic challenges and is urged to take further action to strengthen its growth prospects (Annicchiarico *et al.*, 2012; European Council, 2012). In this economic scene the environmental policy debate has been put aside and Italy has not yet clearly articulated energy strategy, despite the fact that anthropogenic green-house gases emissions are largely derived from energy-related activities (OECD, 2012). In many respects, recent economic events represent an opportunity for Italy to restructure its economy in sustainable ways. This paper aims to contribute in this sense.

Italy is a country with limited domestic energy resources and high dependence on external energy supply. Since 1990, final energy consumption has been increasing steadily, with transport and industry being the most energy-consuming sectors. Although Italian per capita carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ emissions are well below the EU average, carbon dioxide emissions intensity is above the EU level. Oil and gas shares in primary energy supply of Italy, are both above the EU average, while hydroelectricity and other renewables play a very minor role.

To the best of our knowledge, most research has elaborated Italy's data only in panel analysis, see Dijkgraaf and Vollebergh (2005); Galeotti *et al.* (2006); Richmond and Kaufmann (2006) *inter alia.* However, according to de Bruyn *et al.* (1998); Dijkgraaf and Vollebergh (2005); Stern (1998), a correct analysis of the relationship between growth and environment should be developed with regard to individual countries, underlying the importance of the specific historical experience.

This paper examines the relationship between economic growth and carbon dioxide emissions in Italy from unification (1861) until the present day (2011). To this end we make use of the most newly-available statistical reconstruction of the GDP series issued on occasion of the recent celebrations marking the 150 the anniversary of the unification of Italy, see (Baffigi, 2011; Vecchi, 2011).

#### 2 Methods

We the study the link between  $CO_2$  emissions and GDP using different, but complementary, approaches by estimating various time series models. In particular, we first use a linear Cointegrated Vector Autoregressive (CVAR) model following the methodology described in Juselius (2006). The graphical analysis of the series first, and secondly the findings in the Cointegration analysis makes a more careful investigation about a possibly nonlinear behavior of the series a primary interest for a

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Working address: Via Columbia 2, 00133, Rome, Italy; Phone: (+39) 06 72595715; Mobile: 340 9641055; Fax: (+39) 06 2020500; e-mail: Emilio.Zanetti.Chini@uniroma2.it

policy maker. To this end we performed a battery of tests for the null hypothesis of linearity against the alternative hypothesis of nonlinearity, each of one differs from the others for the type of nonlinear model assumed and from the statistical way to convert it in a testable hypothesis.

The statistical literature provides a plethora of different nonlinear models: in particular the Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) model (Tong, 1978, 1983) is used when an observed threshold is used to divide the estimated parameters in two or more regimes, while the Smooth Transition Autoregressive (STAR) model (Chan and Tong, 1986; Teräsvirta, 1994) allows to estimate a smooth change in the transition between two states, as often happens in macroeconomic variables. A recent development of this family of models, introduced by McAleer and Medeiros (2008) for financial applications, allows the econometrician to test and model for multiple regimes. To the best of our knowledge, this paper is the first to apply this advancement in this field.

An alternative methodology for modelling nonlinear time series assumes that the change in regime is not observed, so that the change in regime is driven by Markov Chain. We explore also this scenario via Markov Switching Vector Autoregressive model (Hamilton, 1989), in order to identify the phases of recession (expansion) for GDP and lower (higher) growing rate of emission for  $CO_2$ .

Finally, we test the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis according to which environmental degradation tends to increase as the economy develops, but begins to decline at higher levels of income, see Grossman and Krueger (1993, 1995); Selden and Song (1994), *inter alia*.

## 3 Results

The Cointegration analysis shows there is empirical evidence of a common trend between real GDP and  $CO_2$ , which changes direction (globally increasing before 1975, decreasing after that date). This could be probably due to the energy efficiency policies implemented in the aftermath of the oil crises of the 1970s. The impulse-response analysis on the estimated CVAR model shows that shocks are persistent and in some cases are on an explosive path.

Not surprisingly, all the tests reveals that real GDP, carbon dioxide emissions and the emission intensity are strongly nonlinear, a fact which seems consistent with the strong persistent of the shocks driven by the  $CO_2$  series. The estimated TAR and STAR models are significant and suitable of having at least three regimes. In the 19<sup>th</sup> Century, a long, smooth transition is detected between 1880 and 1915, while the 20<sup>th</sup> Century is characterized by a shorter, more abrupt transition in 1975-90. These dates corresponds to the "accumulation period" of the first step of the industrialization and to the "restructuring period" after the oil shocks, coherently with economic history literature (Zamagni, 1993). Similar results are given by bivariate Markov-Switching VAR for GDP and  $CO_2$ . The years after 2008 seem to correspond to another change in regime.

Finally, an EKC-type regression for the post-war period provides evidence of an inverted U-shape at a reasonable level of per capita GDP.

#### 4 Conclusions

With regard to the motivations previously described, the lack of a serious debate in green energies development for implementing an agenda for environmentally sustainable economic growth is associated with a lack of a structural break in the  $CO_2$  series in the decade after the Kyoto agreement. On the other hand, the decline in the  $CO_2$  emissions and emission intensity rates seems to be part of a long-term trend, driven by exogenous events.

In light of these results and given the high dependence on fossil fuels of the Italian economy, reaching the Europe 2020 national targets for sustainable growth represents a serious environmental challenge for policy makers, requiring a significant break from past policies and a radical change in the attitude towards environmental issues.

## References

- ANNICCHIARICO, B., DIO, F. D. and FELICI, F. (2012). Structural Reforms and the Potential Effects on the Italian Economy. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, **35** (1), 88–109.
- BAFFIGI, A. (2011). Italian National Accounts, 1861-2011. Bank of Italy Economic History Working Paper no. 18.
- CHAN, K. and TONG, H. (1986). On estimating thresholds in autoregressive models. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 7, 178–190, DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9892.1986.tb00501.x.
- DE BRUYN, S., VAN DER BERGH, J. and OPSCHOOR, J. (1998). Economic Growth and Emissions: Reconsidering the Empirical Basis of Environmental Kuznets Curves. *Ecological Economics*, **25**, 161–175.
- DIJKGRAAF, E. and VOLLEBERGH, H. (2005). A Test for Parameter Homogeneity in CO2 Panel EKC Estimations. Environmental and Resource Economics, **32**, 229–239.
- EUROPEAN COUNCIL (2012). Council Recommendation on the National Reform Programme 2012 of Italy and delivering a Council opinion on the Stability Programme of Italy, 2012-2015. Official Journal of the European Union, 55 (C219).
- GALEOTTI, M., LANZA, A. and PAULI, F. (2006). Reassessing the Environmental Kuznets Curve for CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: A Robustness Exercise. *Ecological Economics*, **57**, 152–163.
- GROSSMAN, G. and KRUEGER, A. (1993). Environmental Impacts of a North American Free Trade Agreement. In P. Garber (ed.), *The Mexico-US Free Trade Agreement*, Cambridge: MIT Press.
- and (1995). Economic Growth and the Environment. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 110, 353–377.
- HAMILTON, J. (1989). A New Approach to the Economic Analysis of Nonstationary Time Series and the Business Cycle. *Econometrica*, **57**, 357–84.
- JUSELIUS, K. (2006). The Cointegrated VAR Model: Methodology and Applications. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- MCALEER, M. and MEDEIROS, M. (2008). A multiple regime smooth transition Heterogeneous Autoregressive model for long memory and asymmetries. *Journal of Econometrics*, **147** (1), 104–119.
- MONTGOMERY, A., ZARNOWITZ, V., TSAY, R. and TIAO, G. (1998). Forecasting the US unemployment rate. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, **93** (442), 478–493.
- OECD (2012). Economic Policy Reforms 2012: Going for Growth. OECD Publishing.
- RICHMOND, A. and KAUFMANN, R. (2006). Is there a Turning Point in the Relationship between Income and Energy Use and/or Carbon Emissions? *Ecological Economics*, 56, 176–189.
- SELDEN, T. and SONG, D. (1994). Environmental Quality and Development: Is there a Kuznets Curve for Air Pollution Emissions? Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 27, 147–162.
- SKALIN, J. and TERÄSVIRTA, T. (2002). Modelling asymmetries moving equilibria in unemployment rates. Macroeconomic Dynamics, 6 (2), 202–241.
- STERN, D. (1998). Progress on the Environmental Kuznets Curve? Environment and Development Economics, 3, 173–196.
- TERÄSVIRTA, T. (1994). Specification, estimation and evaluation of smooth transition autoregressive models. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89 (425), 208–218.
- TONG, H. (1978). On a Threshold Model. In C. Chen (ed.), Pattern Recognition and Signal Processing. NATO ASI Series E: Applied Sciences, Netherlands: Sijthoff & Noordhoff, pp. 575–586.
- (1983). Threshold Models in Non-Linear Time Series Analysis. No. 21 in Lecture Notes in Statistics, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- VECCHI, G. (2011). In Ricchezza e in povertà. Il benessere degli italiani dall'Unità a oggi. Bologna: Il Mulino.
- ZAMAGNI, V. (1993). The Economic History of Italy 1860-1990. Oxford: Clarendon Press.