***SYSTEM IMPACTS OF WIND ENERGY DEVELOPMENTS: KEY RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES***


Russell McKenna, Chair of Energy System Analysis, D-MAVT, ETH Zurich, rmckenna@ethz.ch
Johan Lilliestam, Sustainability Transition Policy, Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg, johan.lilliestam@fau.de
Heidi U. Heinrichs, Institute of Climate and Energy Systems, Forschungszentrum Jülich, h.heinrichs@fz-juelich.de
Jann Weinand, Institute of Climate and Energy Systems, Forschungszentrum Jülich, j.weinand@fz-juelich.de
Johannes Schmidt, Institute of Sustainable Economic Development, BOKU University, johannes.schmidt@boku.ac.at
Iain Staffell, Centre for Environmental Policy, Imperial College London, i.staffell@imperial.ac.uk
Andrea N. Hahmann, Department of Wind and Energy Systems, Technical University of Denmark, ahah@dtu.dk
Peter Burgherr, Laboratory for Energy Systems Analysis, Paul Scherrer Institute, peter.burgherr@psi.ch
Arne Burdack, Institute of Climate and Energy Systems, Forschungszentrum Jülich, a.burdack@fz-juelich.de
Monika Bucha, Kelso Institute Europe, bucha@kelso-institute-europe.de
Ruihong Chen, Chair of Energy System Analysis, D-MAVT, ETH Zurich, ruchen@ethz.ch
Michael Klingler, Institute of Sustainable Economic Development, BOKU University, michael.klingler@boku.ac.at
Paul Lehmann, Department of Economics, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, paul.lehmann@ufz.de
Jens Lowitzsch, Kelso Institute Europe, lowitzsch@kelso-institute-europe.de
Riccardo Novo, Kelso Institute Europe, riccardo.novo@outlook.com
James Price, UCL Energy Institute, University College London, james.price@ucl.ac.uk
Romain Sacchi, Laboratory for Energy Systems Analysis, Paul Scherrer Institute, romain.sacchi@psi.ch
Patrick Scherhaufer, Institute of Forest, Environmental, and Natural Resource Policy, BOKU University, patrick.scherhaufer@boku.ac.at
Eva M. Schöll, Institute of Wildlife Biology and Game Management, BOKU University, eva.schoell@boku.ac.at
Piero Visconti, Biodiversity, Ecology, and Conservation Research Group, IIASA, visconti@iiasa.ac.at
Paola Velasco-Herrejón, Department of Technology Systems, University of Oslo, p.v.herrejon@its.uio.no
Marianne Zeyringer, Department of Technology Systems, University of Oslo, marianne.zeyringer@its.uio.no
Luis Ramirez Camargo, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, l.e.ramirezcamargo@uu.nl


## Overview


Wind power accounts for 7.5% of global electricity generation in 2022 (IEA 2023) and is one of the cheapest forms of low-carbon electricity. Although fully commercial, many challenges remain in achieving the required scale-up, relating to integrating wind farms into wider technical, economic, social and natural systems. We review the main challenges, outline existing solutions and propose future research needed to overcome existing problems. While the techno-economic challenges of grid and market integration are seen as significant obstacles to scaling up wind power, the field is replete with solutions. In many countries, planning and permitting are immediate barriers to wind power deployment; while solutions are emerging in the EU and several countries, the effectiveness and long-term acceptance of fast-track permissions and go-to areas remains to be seen. Environmental impacts on wildlife and recycling challenges are rising issues, for which tested and scalable solutions are often still lacking, pointing to large remaining research requirements.


## Impacts of wind energy development

Wind energy development interacts with a range of interconnected systems, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of its effects across environmental, social, techno-economic, and policy dimensions.

From an environmental perspective, wind power projects, particularly onshore, have notable impacts on ecosystems and wildlife. Bird and bat populations are particularly affected by collisions and habitat disturbances (Tolvanen et al. 2023), while offshore installations contribute to underwater noise pollution, which can disrupt marine life (Bailey et al. 2010). Effective mitigation strategies, such as adjusted turbine cut-in speeds and radar-based curtailment, are under exploration but require further refinement. Additionally, large-scale wind farms can influence local weather patterns, altering surface temperatures and wind flows, which may affect neighboring farms and agricultural activities (Qin et al. 2022). The end-of-life treatment of turbine blades remains a significant challenge, with most decommissioned components currently landfilled or stored (Beauson et al. 2022). Advancements in recycling technologies and policy frameworks are essential to address this issue. Furthermore, the reliance on rare earth elements for permanent magnet generators introduces geopolitical and supply chain risks (Lee and Dacass 2022), necessitating research into alternative materials and recycling solutions.

In the social, economic, and health domain, wind farm installations can lead to conflicts over land tenure, especially in regions with traditional land-use practices. Public opposition often arises due to perceived visual impacts on scenic landscapes, highlighting the need for geospatial analysis and public perception studies to improve siting decisions (Weinand et al. 2022). While wind farms can generate local economic benefits, they may also impact property values and tourism. Transparent benefit-sharing mechanisms are crucial to enhancing public acceptance. Moreover, noise emissions and shadow flicker from turbines can cause annoyance and stress (Doolan 2013; Haac et al. 2022), though direct health impacts remain inconclusive. Improved planning and technological solutions can mitigate these concerns.

From a techno-economic perspective, increasing wind penetration presents challenges for grid stability, necessitating investments in storage, demand flexibility, and interconnections. Wind energy influences market dynamics through the merit-order effect, potentially reducing wholesale prices but increasing volatility, necessitating regulatory adjustments to ensure market stability.

Regarding policy and regulation, lengthy permitting processes continue to hinder wind power deployment, requiring streamlined procedures and enhanced stakeholder engagement (Pettersson et al. 2010). The concentration of wind component manufacturing in specific regions raises energy security concerns, underscoring the need for diversified supply chains. Additionally, the increasing digitalization of wind infrastructure introduces cybersecurity vulnerabilities that must be addressed through robust frameworks.

## Conclusions

Wind energy deployment is crucial for global decarbonization efforts but faces a range of systemic challenges that require interdisciplinary solutions. Future research should prioritize improved environmental impact mitigation, enhanced public engagement strategies, and integrated policy frameworks that facilitate large-scale deployment while addressing social and economic concerns. Strengthened collaboration between stakeholders, including policymakers, industry, and researchers, will be key to realizing the full potential of wind power.

## References

Bailey, H., B. Senior, D. Simmons, J. Rusin, G. Picken, and P. M. Thompson. 2010. “Assessing underwater noise levels during pile-driving at an offshore windfarm and its potential effects on marine mammals.” *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60 (6): 888–897. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.01.003.

Beauson, J., A. Laurent, D. P. Rudolph, and J. Pagh Jensen. 2022. “The complex end-of-life of wind turbine blades: A review of the European context.” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 155: 111847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111847.

Doolan, C. 2013. “A Review of Wind Turbine Noise Perception, Annoyance and Low Frequency Emission.” *Wind Engineering*, 37 (1): 97–104. SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.1260/0309-524X.37.1.97.

Haac, R., R. Darlow, K. Kaliski, J. Rand, and B. Hoen. 2022. “In the shadow of wind energy: Predicting community exposure and annoyance to wind turbine shadow flicker in the United States.” *Energy Research & Social Science*, 87: 102471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102471.

IEA. 2023. “Tracking Clean Energy Process 2023.” *IEA, Paris*. Accessed April 17, 2024. https://www.iea.org/reports/tracking-clean-energy-progress-2023.

Lee, Y., and T. Dacass. 2022. “Reducing the United States’ risks of dependency on China in the rare earth market.” *Resources Policy*, 77: 102702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102702.

Pettersson, M., K. Ek, K. Söderholm, and P. Söderholm. 2010. “Wind power planning and permitting: Comparative perspectives from the Nordic countries.” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14 (9): 3116–3123. Elsevier.

Qin, Y., Y. Li, R. Xu, C. Hou, A. Armstrong, E. Bach, Y. Wang, and B. Fu. 2022. “Impacts of 319 wind farms on surface temperature and vegetation in the United States.” *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 17 (2): 024026. IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac49ba.

Tolvanen, A., H. Routavaara, M. Jokikokko, and P. Rana. 2023. “How far are birds, bats, and terrestrial mammals displaced from onshore wind power development? – A systematic review.” *Biological Conservation*, 288: 110382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2023.110382.

Weinand, J. M., R. McKenna, H. Heinrichs, M. Roth, D. Stolten, and W. Fichtner. 2022. “Exploring the trilemma of cost-efficiency, landscape impact and regional equality in onshore wind expansion planning.” *Advances in Applied Energy*, 7: 100102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adapen.2022.100102.