

UNLOCKING THE DECARBONIZATION POTENTIAL OF SYNTHETIC FUELS: A ROBUST ASSESSMENT OF THE KEY COST REDUCTION LEVERS

Behrang Shirizadeh, CIRED & Deloitte, +33158370590, bshirizadeh@deloitte.fr

Aurélien Ailleret, Deloitte, +33158379420, aailleret@deloitte.fr

Charbel Bou Issa, Deloitte, +33155617417, cbouissa@deloitte.fr

Johannes Brauer, Deloitte, +33155615205, jbrauer@deloitte.fr

Marc De Froidefond, Deloitte, +33155612872, mdefroidefond@deloitte.fr

Augustin Guillon, Deloitte, +33140881511, aguillon@deloitte.fr

Johannes Trüby, Deloitte, +33155616211, jtruby@deloitte.fr

Overview

Reaching net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050 requires a fundamental transformation of society, from the current fossil fuel-centric model to an efficient, highly renewable, and electrified energy system, with clean hydrogen complementing electrification where it is costly or difficult to electrify (Zeyringer et al., 2018; Babacan et al., 2020; DeAngelo et al., 2021; Shirizadeh and Quirion, 2022; Shirizadeh et al., 2023a). However, the low energy density of hydrogen and electricity makes them less suitable fuel options for aviation and maritime shipping for most applications (IRENA, 2021; Clean Air Task Force, 2024). Therefore, decarbonizing these sectors will rely on low-carbon fuels like biofuels and synthetic fuels. Intense cross-sectoral competition for limited biological feedstock, as well as limited availability of sustainable bioenergy feedstock, are expected to hinder large-scale biofuel adoption (Sustainable Shipping Initiative, 2021), positioning synthetic fuels (e.g., ammonia, methanol, and synthetic kerosene) centrally for decarbonizing aviation and maritime shipping in the long run. Their adoption, however, will largely depend on their economic performance.

Synthetic fuels are currently much more expensive than their fossil counterparts, and their future costs involve significant uncertainties (IEA, 2023). Nevertheless, they hold the key to decarbonizing aviation and shipping, requiring a thorough analysis of different cost components and their impact on synthetic fuels' overall costs. This analysis would highlight key cost reduction levers and the areas to focus on for creating affordable future synthetic fuel markets. Moreover, it would provide critical insights into the arbitrage among different fuel options, leading to low-regret investment strategies. This paper focuses on the development of future synthetic fuels markets, the key cost components of these fuels, and the necessary actions to reduce their production costs to unlock their decarbonization potential effectively.

Methods

This study combines two modeling approaches. First, demand was estimated using a mixed top-down and bottom-up methodology. Second, an optimization model was developed to assess the cost and origin of synthetic fuels and the input hydrogen in each geography for each year and their trade. Hydrogen demand estimation follows the methodology described in Shirizadeh et al. (2023b): we identify each sector's electrification potential yearly, based on sectoral characteristics and existing outlooks (IEA, 2022a; IRENA, 2022; and DNV, 2022). We then identify the demand that can be met by hydrogen, synthetic fuels, and bioenergies as the demand that cannot be met by electricity. Following trends in hydrogen development and the latest public announcements and outlooks, the demand values have been revised downwards compared to Shirizadeh et al. (2023b). Assuming gradual integration of more efficient airplanes and vessels and implementing operational efficiency measures in vehicle utilization, using ICCT's "Transformation" scenario (ICCT, 2022) and IMO's EEDI regulation requirements (IMO, 2024), future energy demand levels for aviation and shipping have been identified. Assuming almost 40% of synthetic fuels in aviation and 70% in shipping, the demand for hydrogen-based synthetic fuels in these sectors reaches 75 MtH₂eq and 154 MtH₂eq by 2030 and 2050, respectively.

Following a detailed literature review (notably based on IEA, 2022b; IEA-GHG, 2021; and TNO, 2019), high-confidence biogenic and direct air capture-based (DAC) CO₂ potentials and cost spectrums were identified. While biogenic CO₂ availability is limited to regions with significant biomass potentials, DAC-based CO₂ can be produced virtually everywhere. The average cost of DAC is estimated to fall from around US\$500/tCO₂ in 2030 to slightly above US\$300/tCO₂ by 2050. The demand values and different cost elements, including calculated CO₂ costs, are then fed into a global clean hydrogen and its derivatives supply and trade optimization model (HyPE). A detailed description of the HyPE model and the baseline input data used can be found in Deloitte (2023) and Shirizadeh et al. (2023b). The updated cost and hydrogen and synthetic fuels demand data can be found in Appendix 1 of Deloitte (2024a).

Results

The modeling results show that the costs of producing synthetic kerosene, methanol, and ammonia vary from US\$45/GJ to US\$130/GJ, US\$33/GJ to US\$101/GJ, and US\$33/GJ to US\$71/GJ, respectively, in the short run (2030). By 2050, production costs fall significantly, varying between US\$32/GJ and US\$78/GJ for synthetic kerosene, US\$25/GJ and US\$61/GJ for methanol, and US\$23/GJ and US\$44/GJ for ammonia. This significant variation mainly stems from the geographical variability of renewable endowments, impacting the production cost of clean hydrogen. The cost of climate-neutral CO₂ is another essential element, representing almost half of the production cost of methanol and synthetic fuels in regions with high renewable endowments if produced via direct air capture. However, availability of biogenic CO₂, notably as a byproduct of bioenergy processing, can reduce the CO₂ component to below 10% of methanol and synthetic kerosene production costs. Therefore, the cost competitiveness of synthetic kerosene and methanol is tied to biomass resources availability, which significantly varies globally. In contrast, conversion costs are relatively stable worldwide and always represent only a small part of synthetic fuels' overall production costs: around US\$11/GJ, US\$6/GJ, and US\$8/GJ for synthetic kerosene, methanol, and ammonia, respectively, in 2030 and US\$6.5/GJ, US\$4/GJ, and US\$5/GJ in 2050.

As hydrogen is highly capital-intensive and it represents bulk of synthetic fuel production costs, synthetic fuels' production cost is highly sensitive to financing conditions, internalized through the weighted average cost of capital (WACC). The riskier a project, the more difficult its financing conditions, and the higher its WACC. Ironically, the countries with the best renewable endowments often have the highest WACC levels. For instance, with no de-risking, financing costs can represent 60% of the cost of producing solar PV-based renewable hydrogen in Namibia (US\$4.2/kgH₂ out of US\$7.1/kgH₂). De-risking measures, such as different guarantee mechanisms, can reduce the WACC of such a project from 14.4% to 6.4%, bringing the overall renewable hydrogen production cost down to US\$4.5/kgH₂, dividing the financing costs by 2.5 (Deloitte, 2024b). This would reduce the cost of producing ammonia from US\$81/GJ to US\$55/GJ and methanol (assuming using biogenic CO₂) from US\$80/GJ to US\$54/GJ. Therefore, de-risking investments is a highly effective lever to reduce the cost of synthetic fuels. As transport costs represent a small part of the landed cost of synthetic fuels, de-risking synthetic fuel production projects in the global south with abundant renewable energies can further reduce the costs associated with synthetic fuels' value chain.

Despite the expected long-term cost reductions, synthetic fuels will likely remain more expensive than fossil fuels. Our modeling results show they are two to ten times more costly than fossil fuels in 2050. A progressive support mechanism taxing CO₂ emissions of fossil fuels and using the tax revenues for subsidizing synthetic fuels can reduce this cost difference significantly, and in a few cases, breakeven points can be reached as early as 2035 for maritime shipping and 2045 for aviation. Nevertheless, using a carbon tax in line with IEA's Net-Zero pathway (progressive increase reaching US\$250/tCO₂ in 2050, IEA, 2023), in such a zero-sum policy support scheme, due to limited availability of low-cost CO₂ feedstock and inter-sectoral competition for both clean hydrogen and sustainable CO₂, an important share of synthetic fuels supply (notably synthetic kerosene and methanol) will remain more expensive than conventional fossil fuels, even in the long run. Though it is worth mentioning that fuel cost does not necessarily represent an important part of a shipped good's final cost. For example, shipping 1kg of rice from Thailand to the United States costs around 3.5 US cents, representing less than 1% of its retail price of US\$4/kg. Using DAC-based methanol (the most expected synthetic fuel for shipping in our analysis) would only add 0.5% to its final retail price. For aviation, while fuel cost represents an important part of air travel cost and a shift to synthetic fuels can lead to significant increases in travel fares (up to 50% increase for a flight from London to New York), it is expected to add gradually to the current mix, not exceeding 40% of the final aviation fuel mix even in the long run.

Conclusions

Our findings demonstrate that synthetic fuels are significantly more expensive than their fossil counterparts. While there are notable uncertainties regarding technology development and clean hydrogen and climate-neutral CO₂ costs, synthetic fuels will likely remain more expensive than fossil fuels in the foreseeable future. This necessitates policy schemes beyond subsidies and taxes, such as incorporation mandates and emission quotas, to kickstart and sustain their uptake.

In addition to renewable endowments, the availability of biogenic CO₂ is crucial to produce low-cost carbon-based synthetic fuels like methanol and kerosene. Thus, synthetic fuels' production costs vary significantly across different geographies (up to 3x in 2030 and over 2x in 2050). However, their transport over long distances entails limited additional costs. This calls for the development of truly global synthetic fuel markets, with facilitated trade and investments. On the one hand, it would provide regions with limited renewable and biogenic CO₂ potentials with affordable synthetic fuels. On the other, it can generate revenues for regions with high renewable endowments. Therefore, beyond regional and national policies, a globally harmonized regulatory framework, including harmonized certification and standards, is crucial for synthetic fuels' uptake.

References

- Babacan, O., De Causmaecker, S., Gambhir, A., Fajardy, M., Rutherford, A. W., Fantuzzi, A., & Nelson, J., “[Assessing the feasibility of carbon dioxide mitigation options in terms of energy usage](#)”, *Nature Energy*, 5(9), 720-728, 2020.
- Clean Air Task Force, “[Decarbonizing Aviation: Enabling Technologies for a Net-Zero Future](#)”, June 2024.
- DeAngelo, J., Azevedo, I., Bistline, J., Clarke, L., Luderer, G., Byers, E., & Davis, S. J., “[Energy systems in scenarios at net-zero CO2 emissions](#)”, *Nature communications*, 12(1), 6096, 2021.
- Deloitte, “[Green hydrogen: Energizing the path to net zero – Deloitte’s 2023 global green hydrogen outlook](#)”, June 2023.
- Deloitte, “[Low-carbon fuels: The last mile to net-zero - The role of synthetic fuels in decarbonizing the skies and the seas](#)”, November 2024(a).
- Deloitte, “[Financing the green energy transition: Innovative financing for a just transition](#)”, May 2024(b).
- DNV, “[Energy Transition Outlook 2022](#)”, 2022.
- ICCT, “[Vision 2050 – Aligning Aviation With the Paris Agreement](#)”, June 2022.
- IEA, “[World Energy Outlook 2022](#)”. October 2022(a).
- IEA, “[Direct Air Capture – A key technology for net zero](#)”, April 2022(b).
- IEA, “[Net Zero Roadmap: A Global Pathway to Keep the 1.5 °C Goal in Reach – 2023 Update](#)”, September 2023.
- IEA, “[The Role of E-fuels in Decarbonising Transport](#)”, December 2023.
- IEA-GHG, “[Global Assessment of Direct Air Capture Costs](#)”, December 2021.
- IMO, “[EEDI – rational, safe and effective](#)”, Accessed October 2024.
- IRENA, “[A Pathway to Decarbonise the Shipping Sector by 2050](#)”, October 2021.
- IRENA, “[World Energy Transitions Outlook: 1.5°C Pathway](#)”, March 2022.
- Shirizadeh, B., & Quirion, P., “[The importance of renewable gas in achieving carbon-neutrality: Insights from an energy system optimization model](#)”, *Energy*, 255, 124503, 2022.
- Shirizadeh, B., Villavicencio, M., Douguet, S., Trüby, J., Bou Issa, C., Seck, G. S., D’herbement, V., Hache, E., Malbec, L.M., Sabathier, J., Venugopal, M., Lagrange, F., Saunier, S., Straus, J. & Reigstad, G. A., “[The impact of methane leakage on the role of natural gas in the European energy transition](#)”, *Nature Communications*, 14(1), 5756, 2023(a).
- Shirizadeh, B., Ailleret, A., Guillon, A., Bovari, E., El Khatib, N., Douguet, S., Bou Issa, C., Brauer, J., and Trüby, J., “[Towards a resilient and cost-competitive clean hydrogen economy: the future is green](#)”, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 16(12), 6094-6109, 2023(b).
- Sustainable Shipping Initiative, “[Availability of sustainable biofuels - A follow up to SSI’s 2019 inquiry into the sustainability and availability of biofuels for shipping](#)”, June 2021.
- TNO, “[Direct Air Capture of Carbon Dioxide – Technology Factsheet](#)”, December 2019.
- Zeyringer, M., Price, J., Fais, B., Li, P. H., & Sharp, E., “[Designing low-carbon power systems for Great Britain in 2050 that are robust to the spatiotemporal and inter-annual variability of weather](#)”, *Nature Energy*, 3(5), 395-403, 2018.