

Impact of non-tailpipe emissions on local air pollution: Evidence from New Zealand

Mingyue Selena Sheng, Energy Centre, University of Auckland

Le Wen*, Energy Centre, University of Auckland, Phone +64 9 923 7594, E-mail: l.wen@auckland.ac.nz

Quan Truong, Department of Property, University of Auckland

Perry Davy, GNS Science, Wellington, New Zealand

Overview

Road transport is one of the primary sources of airborne particulate matter (PM), a common class of pollutant in urban environments and a primary driver of air pollution's burden of disease worldwide.¹ PM concentrations differ in particles' sources and chemical composition² affected by locations and meteorological conditions, such as temperature, humidity, wind speed, and rainfall³. While transformational technological improvements, coupled with emission control regulations and policies have led to a substantial reduction in exhaust emissions (EMs) from road traffic⁴⁻⁶, currently, on-road non-exhaust emissions (NEEs), also known as NEEs such as those generated from brake, tyre, clutch and road surface wear or road dust resuspension due to traffic congestion are unabated⁷. Most recent data show a number of European cities including Berlin and London indicated that the contribution of NEEs to PM concentrations was comparable or even larger compared to EMs⁸. Empirical evidence from Auckland also indicates that coarse PM has become the dominant transport source after 2016, as NEEs increase in line with rising traffic volume⁹. However, NEEs of PM constitute a little-known knowledge and hence has been downplayed compared to EMs in the literature and transport and health policies.

EVs can improve air quality through zero tailpipe emissions¹⁰. However, fleet electrification would not significantly reduce PM emissions, as 1) NEEs in transport arise irrespective of the fuel source, and 2) the ever-increasing proportion of non-exhaust emissions in the total emission profile¹¹. Hence, expanding the share of alternative fuel vehicles in the overall fleet will unlikely reduce PM pollution. To the contrary, PM pollution may in fact increase due to heavier vehicle weights¹². For this reason, an improved understanding of the relationship between the sources and causes of particulate NEEs and vehicle characteristics is vital for developing appropriate policy interventions to tackle this issue.

Hence, the aim of this research is to explore and better understand the nexus between NEEs and the vehicle fleet and its implications for human health, based on econometric analysis of detailed New Zealand centric data. In particular, we are interested in: 1) quantifying the impacts of potential sources on monitored NEEs, and 2) propose specific policy recommendations in order to improve the country's air quality.

Methods

The study utilises panel data regression to examine the impact of road dust and other factors, including soil, biomass, fuel, and marine aerosol, after considering the meteorological data, such as wind speed, temperature, and humidity.

Results

- Accordingly, five factors, including soil, biomass, fuel, marine aerosol, and road dust were found statistically significant at the 1% level, while secondary sulphate was significant at 10% level. All the coefficients are positive, implying that 1% increase in soil and road dust will increase 6.2% and 4.9% of PM₁₀, respectively.
- After adding the interaction between soil and road dust given the fixed effects, interestingly, both road dust and soil were found to be significant at 1% and 5% level,

respectively. In addition, the interaction between these two variables was also significant at 1% level. It suggests that soil, jointly with road dust, positively influences the concentration of PM₁₀.

Conclusions

The empirical results of our research would be twofold. First, they would provide the necessary and scientifically based evidence to assist urban/transport planners and policymakers in understanding the long-ignored relationship between NEEs and the vehicle fleet, in New Zealand. And second, the assessment of transport policy impacts will also offer a cost-effective way to reduce NEEs, and identify policy drawbacks before any legislative changes. Our findings will be highly relevant for transport and environmental policies.

References

1. Health Effects Institute. (2020). State of Global Air 2020. Special Report. Boston, MA: Health Effects Institute. Retrieved from: <https://www.stateofglobalair.org/>
2. Davy, P.K., Ancelet, T., Trompetter, W.J., Markwitz, A. & Weatherburn, D.C. (2012). Composition and source contributions of air particulate matter pollution in a New Zealand suburban town. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, 3(1),143-147. <https://doi.org/10.5094/APR.2012.014>
3. Patel, H., Talbot, N., Salmond, J., Dirks, K., Xie, S. & Davy, P. (2020). Implications for air quality management of changes in air quality during lockdown in Auckland (New Zealand) in response to the 2020 SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. *Science of the Total Environment*, 746, 141129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141129>
4. Wen, L., Sheng, M., & Sharp, B. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on changes in community mobility and variation in transport modes. *New Zealand Economic Papers*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00779954.2020.1870536>
5. Sheng, M., Sreenivasan, A.V., Sharp, B., Wilson, D. & Ranjitkar, P. (2020). Economic analysis of dynamic inductive power transfer roadway charging system under public-private partnership—Evidence from New Zealand. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 154, 119958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.119958>
6. Yi, M., Wang, Y., Sheng, M., Sharp, B., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Effects of heterogeneous technological progress on haze pollution: Evidence from China. *Ecological Economics*, 169, 106533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106533>
7. Thorpe, A. & Harrison, R.M. (2008). Sources and properties of non-exhaust particulate matter from road traffic: A review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 400(1-3), 270–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.06.007>
8. Querol X., Alastuey A., Ruiz C.R., Artinano B., Hansson H.C., Harrison R.M, Buringh E., ten Brink H.M., Lutz M., & Bruckmann P. (2004) Speciation and origin of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in selected European cities. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38(38), 6547-6555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.08.037>
9. Soret, A., Guevara, M. & Baldasano, J. (2014). The potential impacts of electric vehicles on air quality in the urban areas of Barcelona and Madrid (Spain). *Atmospheric Environment*, 99, 51-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.048>
10. Davy, P., K. & Trompetter, W. J. (2019). Composition, sources and long-term trends for Auckland air particulate matter: Summary Report. GNS Science Consultancy Report 2019/151.
11. Air Quality Expert Group. (2019). Non-Exhaust Emissions from Road Traffic. https://ukair.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/reports/cat09/1907101151_20190709_Non_Exhaust_Emissions_typeset_Final.pdf
12. Timmers, V.R.J.H. & Achten, P.A.J. (2016). Non-exhaust PM emissions from electric vehicles. *Atmospheric Environment*, 134, 10-17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811770-5.00012-1>