IS TRANSMISSION EXPANSION FOR DECARBONIZATION COMPATIBLE WITH GENERATION COMPETITION?

Timothy Brennan, Resources for the Future, 1-202-328-5084, brennan@rff.org

Overview

Decarbonization of the electricity sector, and expanding it to facilitate decarbonization of transportation, heating, and other energy applications primarily using fossil fuels, is an important step in mitigating climate change. A widely advocated step in that direction is long-term planning to massively expand the transmission system to deliver electricity generated by wind and solar units that are far away from population centers. The transmission system has seen substantial investment in recent years, with few examples of failure to construct new lines, but future climate imperatives may justify moving away from the process of adding incremental capacity in response to specific requests. However, the planning process may sacrifice much of the benefits of competition that electricity policy has striven to achieve over the past three decades.

Methods

Economic, analytical, legal, documentary

Results

Two types of benefits from competition are at risk with long-term transmission planning. The first are those from foregone static competition resulting from independent output and capacity responses to market prices. Perhaps more important benefits at risk are those from dynamic competition from technological innovation and market information acquired over time.

Conclusions

Reconciling the benefits of competition with central planning has long been necessary in the electricity sector. I propose options for preserving some of the benefits of competition, if long-term transmission planning remains an imperative.

References

- Bauer, J., and E. Bohlin. 2022. "Regulation and innovation in 5G markets." *Telecommunications Policy* 46(4), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2021.102260.
- Brattle Group, and Grid Strategies. 2021. *Transmission Planning for the 21st Century: Proven Practices That Increase Value and Reduce Costs*. Brattle Group and Grid Strategies.
- Brennan, T. 2006. Alleged Transmission Inadequacy: Is Restructuring the Cure or the Cause? *Electricity Journal* 19(4): 42–51.
- Brennan, T. 2007. Should Innovation Rationalize Supra-Competitive Prices? A Skeptical Speculation. In *The Pros* and Cons of High Prices, edited by Fredenberg, A. Stockholm: Swedish Competition Authority: 88–127.

- Brennan, T. 2020. *Customer Side Energy Management: What Role Should Utilities Play?* Working Paper 21-03. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Brennan, T. 2021. Reliability and Resilience: Complements or Substitutes? *IAEE Energy Forum* (Fourth Quarter): 42–43.
- Brennan, T., K. Palmer, and S. Martinez. 2002. Alternating Currents. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.

Brennan, T., K. Palmer, R. Kopp, V. Stagliano, A. Krupnick, and D. Burtraw. 1996. A Shock to the System: *Restructuring America's Electricity Industry*. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.

- Davenport, C. 2020. "Justice Department Drops Antitrust Probe Against Automakers That Sided with California on Emissions." *New York Times*, Feb. 8, p. B1.
- DOE (Department of Energy). 2020. National Electric Transmission Congestion Study. Washington, DC: DOE. https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2020/10/f79/2020% 20Congestion% 20Study% 20FINAL% 2022Sept2 020.pdf
- ESIG (Energy Systems Integration Group). 2021. *Transmission Planning for 100% Clean Electricity*. ESIG. https://www.esig.energy/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Transmission-Planning-White-Paper.pdf.
- Faruqui, A., R. Hledik, and J. Tsoukalis. 2009. The Power of Dynamic Pricing. https://ssrn.com/abstract=1340594.
- FTC (Federal Trade Commission). 2006. Enforcement Perspectives on the Noerr-Pennington Doctrine. Washington, DC: FTC.
- Hesamzadeh, M., D. Biggar, J. Rosellon, and H. Hesamzadeh. 2021. Transmission Network Investment in a Time of Transition. *Energy and Environmental Policy* 10: 93–114.
- Howland, E. 2022. SOO Green Transmission Project Faces PJM Obstacles: Are Grid Operators Hindering the Energy Transition? *Utility Dive*, Jan. 13.
- Hu, J., S. Kann, J. Tong, and J. Wellinghoff. 2015. Grid Neutrality: Principles for Tomorrow's Electricity Sector. Public Utilities Fortnightly (October): 25–30.
- Joskow, P. 2021. Facilitating Transmission Expansion to Support Efficient Decarbonization of the Electricity Sector. *Economics of Energy and Environmental Policy* 10: 57-91.
- MIT Energy Initiative. 2022. *The Future of Energy Storage*. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- NASEM (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine). 2021. *The Future of Electric Power in the United States*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Rennert, K., B. Prest, W. Pizer, R. Newell, D. Anthoff, C. Kingdon, L. Rennels, R. Cooke, A. Raftery, H. Ševčíková, and F. Errickson. 2021. *The Social Cost of Carbon: Advances in Long-Term Probabilistic Projections of Population, GDP, Emissions, and Discount Rates*. Working Paper 21-28. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Stern, N., and J. Stiglitz. 2021. *The Social Cost of Carbon, Risk, Distribution, Market Failures: An Alternative Approach*. Working Paper No. 28472. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Taylor, J., and P. Van Doren. 2004. Rethinking Electricity Restructuring. Policy Analysis No. 530, Cato Institute.
- Winston, C. 1993. Economic Deregulation: Days of Reckoning for Microeconomists. *Journal of Economic Literature* 31: 1263–1289.