

PROBABLE OIL&GAS RESERVES: EFFECT ON STOCK RETURNS

Bård Misund, Associate Professor, University of Stavanger Business School, +4741681729, bard.misund@uis.no
Petter Osmundsen, Professor, Department of Industrial Economics, Risk Management and Planning, University of Stavanger,
petter.osmundsen@uis.no

Overview

Oil and gas reserves are the most important assets of oil and gas companies. A source of confusion for investors in oil companies is that reserves quantities and values are uncertain estimates. Reserves are typically classified according to probabilities of recovery from underground reservoirs. All U.S. listed companies are required to disclose proved reserves but not probable reserves, thus leaving out potentially important information for investors and financial analysts. This study addresses the impact on market valuation of various classifications of reserves amounts.

Methods

We collect data from 94 companies that do disclose information on probable reserves. From their annual financial accounts, we collect two types of reserve amounts, namely proved developed and probable reserves, representing two different degrees of commercial maturity. In addition, we collect the firm's total annual shareholder returns. We regress the returns on changes in different reserve classes and a set of control variables including risk factors and changes in the oil and gas prices.

Since the relationship between stock returns and reserves might change over time, we analyse the impact of the shale gas revolution, using a dummy-variable approach.

Results

We find that with oil&gas company returns are positively associated with changes in proved oil&gas reserves, but not with changes in probable reserves. While we find that information on probable reserves do not have an impact on stock returns measured over the entire time period, this is not the case since 2009, coinciding with the onset of the shale gas revolution.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that proved developed reserves are the main type of reserves used by investors to forecast future cash flows. However, we do find a structural shift in the association between returns and gas reserves after 2009, coinciding with the Shale gas revolution. The effect can be explained by the impact of Shale gas on the natural gas prices in North America.

From late 2014 to end-2015, the crude oil prices fell from above 100 USD/barrel to less than 40 USD/barrel. Many commentators suggested that the recent U.S. onshore Shale oil boom was the culprit, flooding the markets with oil. In many ways the Shale oil boom resembles the Shale gas boom, but the effects will only reveal themselves in the years to come. Our results can therefore also be relevant for understanding the recent Shale oil boom and the how it may have affected the valuation of oil and gas companies.

References

- Alciatore, M.L. 1993. New Evidence on SFAS No. 69 and the Components of the Change in Reserve Value. *The Accounting Review* 68(3), 639-656
- Arellano, M. (1987). Computing Robust Standard Errors for Within-groups Estimators. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 49 (4), 431-434.
- Asche, F., Oglend, A. and P. Osmundsen (2012). Gas versus Oil Prices the Impact of Shale Gas. *Energy Policy* 47, 117-124.
- Bachmeier, L.J. and J.M. Griffin (2006), "Testing for market integration crude oil, coal, and natural gas", *The Energy Journal* 27, 55-71.
- Boyer, M.M. and D. Filion (2007). Common and fundamental factors in stock returns of Canadian oil and gas companies. *Energy Economics* 29, 428-453.
- Breusch, T.S. (1978). Testing for Autocorrelation in Dynamic Linear Models. *Australian Economic Papers* 17, 334-355.
- Breusch, T.S. and A.R. Pagan (1979). A Simple Test for Heteroskedasticity and Random Coefficient Variation. *Econometrica* 47 (5), 1287-1294.
- Bryant, L. (2003). Relative Value Relevance of the Success Efforts and Full Cost Accounting Methods in the Oil and Gas Industry. *Review of Accounting Studies* 8 (1), 5-28.
- Canadian Securities Administrators (2002a). *National Instrument 51-101: Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities*. CSA: Alberta.
- Canadian Securities Administrators (2002b). *Companion Policy 51-101: Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities*. CSA: Alberta.
- Carhart, M.M. (1997). On Persistence in Mutual Fund Performance. *The Journal of Finance* 52 (1), 57-82.
- Chow, G.C. (1960). Tests of Equality Between Sets of Coefficients in Two Linear Regressions. *Econometrica* 28 (3), 591-605.
- Clinch, G. and J. Magliolo (1992). Market Perceptions of Reserve Disclosures under SFAS no. 69. *The Accounting Review* 67 (October), 843-861.
- Dickey, D.A. and W.A. Fuller (1979). Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74 (366), 427-431.
- Doran, B.M., Collins, D. and D. Dhaliwal (1988). The Information of Historical Cost Earnings Relative to Supplemental Reserve-Based Accounting Data in the Extractive Petroleum Industry. *The Accounting Review*, 63 (3), 389-413
- Erdős, P. (2012). Have Oil and Gas Prices Got Separated? *Energy Policy* 49, 707-718.
- Fama, E.F. and K.R. French (1993). Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics* 33 (1), 3-56.
- Fama, E.F. and K.R. French (1996). Multifactor Explanations of Asset Anomalies. *The Journal of Finance* 51 (1), 55-84.
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (1982). *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 69: Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities*. FASB: Stamford, CT.
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (2009). *Financial Accounting Codification Topic 932: Extractive Activities – Oil and Gas*. FASB: Stamford, CT.
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (2010). *Financial Accounting Series. Accounting Standards Update. Extractive Activities – Oil and Gas (Topic 932): Oil and Gas Reserves Estimation and Disclosures. An Amendment of the FAB Accounting Standards Codification*. FASB: Stamford, CT.
- Godfrey, L.G. (1978). Testing Against General Autoregressive and Moving Average Error Models when the Regressors Include Lagged Dependent Variables. *Econometrica* 46 (6), 1293-1302.
- Gujarati, D. (1970a). Use of Dummy Variables in Testing for Equality Between Sets of Coefficients in Two Linear Regression: A Note. *American Statistician* 24 (1), 50-52.
- Gujarati, D. (1970b). Use of Dummy Variables in Testing for Equality Between Sets of Coefficients in Two Linear Regression: A Generalization. *American Statistician* 24 (5), 18-21.
- Jensen, M.C. (1986). Agency Costs of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance and Takeovers. *The American Economic Review* 76 (2), 323-329.
- Jensen, M.C. (1988). The Takeover Controversy: Analysis and Evidence. In *Knights, Raiders and Targets: The Impact of the Hostile Takeover*, J. Coffee, L. Lowenstein and S. Rose-Ackerman (eds.). Oxford University Press: Oxford.
- Kretschmar, G.L., Misund, B. And D. Hatherly (2007). Market Risks and Oilfield Ownership – Refining Oil and Gas Disclosures. *Energy Policy*, 35 (11), 5909-5917.
- Lintner, J. (1965). The Valuation of Risk Assets and the Selection of Risky Investments in Stock Portfolios and Capital Budgets. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 47(1), 13-37.
- McConnell, J and C. Muscarella (1985). Corporate Capital Expenditure Decisions and the Market Value of the Firm. *Journal of Financial Economics* 14 (3), 399-422.
- Misund, B., F. Asche and P. Osmundsen (2008). Industry Upheaval and Valuation: Empirical Evidence from the International Oil and Gas Industry. *The International Journal of Accounting* 43 (4), 398-424.
- Mitchell, J. (2004). *Petroleum Reserves in Question*. Oxford: Oxford Institute for Energy Studies.
- Mossin, J. (1966). Equilibrium in a Capital Asset Market. *Econometrica* 34(4), 768-783.
- Oglend, A., Lindbäck, M. and P. Osmundsen (2016). Shale Gas Boom Affecting the Relationship Between LPG and Oil Prices. *The Energy Journal* 37 (1), 211-232.
- Ohlson, J.A. (1995). Earnings, Book Values, and Dividends in Equity Valuation. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 11 (2), 661-687.
- Osmundsen, P. (2010). Chasing Reserves – Incentives and Ownership in Bjørndal, E., Bjørndal, M., Pardalos, P.M. and M. Rönnqvist, eds. (2010), *Energy, Natural Resource and Environmental Economics*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 19-39.
- Picchi, B. (1985). *Structure of the U.S. Oil Industry: Past and Future*. Salomon Brothers (July).
- Sadorsky, P. (2001). Risk factors in stock returns of Canadian oil and gas companies. *Energy Economics* 23, 17-28.
- Scholtens, B. and R. Wagenaar (2011). Revisions of international firms' energy reserves and the reaction of the stock market. *Energy* 36(5), 3541-3546.
- Securities and Exchange Commission (2008). *Modernization of Oil and Gas Reporting Requirements: The Final Rule*. SEC: Washington
- Sharpe, W.F. (1964). Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium Under Conditions of Risk. *The Journal of Finance* 19 (3), 425-442.
- Society of Petroleum Engineers (2011). *Guidelines for Application of the Petroleum Management System*, November 2011. http://www.spe.org/industry/docs/PRMS_Guidelines_Nov2011.pdf: SPE: Richardson, TX.
- Spear, N.A. (1996). The Stock Market Reaction to the Reserve-Based Value Replacement Measures of Oil and Gas Producers. *Journal of Business, Finance and Accounting* 23(7), 953-974.
- Teall, H.D. (1992). Information Content of Canadian Oil and Gas Companies' Historic Cost Earnings and Reserves Disclosures. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 8 (2), 561-579.
- Wooldridge, J.M. (2002). *Econometric Analysis of Cross-Section and Panel Data*. MIT Press.
- Yergin, D. (2011). Stepping on the gas. *The Wall Street Journal*, April 2, the Saturday essay.