

#### The Use of Discrete Choice Research in Hybrid Energy-economy Models

#### Nic Rivers Energy and Materials Research Group Simon Fraser University

# Goal for energy-economy models:

To be useful to policy-makers, an energyeconomy model should be able to simulate real policies in a realistic manner



### Traditional Energy-economy Models

Traditional top-down models

- Not able to explore policies that directly influence technologies (e.g. equipment standards)
- Not suitable for detailed modeling of technological change

Traditional bottom-up models

- Treat similar technologies as perfect substitutes (incandescent vs. compact fluorescent lightbulbs)
- Ignore risk, option value
- Ignore heterogeneity in the market



### CIMS Model – a Hybrid

- Technologically explicit
  - Tracks technology purchases, retirements, retrofits
- Behaviourally realistic
  - Simulates the way in which people choose between technologies based on empirical studies
- Macroeconomic feedbacks
  - Realistic representation of import substitution, elasticities



# Technology in CIMS

#### Demand

#### Industry

- Chemicals
- Industrial minerals
- Iron and Steel
- Metal smelting
- Mining
- Other Manufacturing
- Pulp and Paper

#### Transportation

#### Commercial

#### Residential

7/9/2004

#### Supply

#### Upstream

- **Coal Mining**
- Natural Gas Extraction
- Petroleum Crude

#### Downstream

- **Electricity Generation**
- Petroleum Refining



### Technology in CIMS

		Transportation De	hueme	
Technology	Capital Cost	Fuel Type	Fuel Cost	Direct CO2 Emissions
Ethanol	\$25,511	85/15 Eth/Gas	\$1,490/yr	0.049 kg/km
Methanol	\$26,300	85/15 Meth/Gas	\$1,975/yr	0.195 kg/km
Hybrid	\$29,000	Gasoline	\$496/yr	0.109 kg/km
Battery Electric	\$48,500	Electricity	\$262/yr	0 kg/km
Fuel Cell (H2)	\$140,000	Hydrogen	\$2,085/yr	0 kg/km
Old Car Car		Asoline New Truck Other Fuels	Public Transit Public Transit Bus Rapid Transit	ansit
7/9/2004	New Car Alternative Fuels	Energy New Truck Alternative Fuels SEU	earch Group /	



### **Behaviour in CIMS**

- There is no "law" governing human decisionmaking:
  - People do not "optimize" i.e., pick lowest financial cost technologies
  - Similar technologies are not perfect substitutes (compact fluorescent lights)
  - People are different from one another
- We must use real-world data to predict how people make choices and react to policies
  - Discrete choice modeling



### Vehicle Choice Experiment

If these were the only four vehicles available to you, which would you choose? **choices** 

Vehicle Type	Gasoline Vehicle	Alternative Fuel Vehicle	Hybrid-Electric Vehicle	Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicle
Purchase Price	\$21,000	\$26,000	\$29,000	\$45,000
Fuel Cost	\$25/week	\$22/week	\$16/week	\$36/week
Stations with Proper Fuel	100%	25%	100%	5%
Express Lane Access	None	None	None	Yes
Emissions Compared to Current Vehicle	Equal	25% Less	40% Less	100% Less
Power Compared to Current Vehicle	Equal	Equal	25% Less	10% Less
	-	-	-	-

- Varied attribute levels
- Received over 3,000 responses

attributes



### Results of Vehicle Choice Experiment

Attribute	<i>B</i> - Value	
Capital Cost	-9.01E-05	
Fuel Cost	-4.60E-03	
Fuel Availability	1.16	
Express Lane Access	-0.16	
Power	-0.22	
ASC – Gasoline	-1.70	
ASC – Alternative Fuel	-2.01	
ASC – Hybrid Electric	-0.36	

Utility<sub>i</sub> =  $\beta_{CC}$  \* (Capital Cost<sub>i</sub>) +  $\beta_{FC}$  \* (Fuel Cost<sub>i</sub>) +  $\beta_{FA}$  \* (Fuel Availability<sub>i</sub>) +  $\beta_{EL}$  \* (Express Lane<sub>i</sub>) +  $\beta_{P}$  \* (Power<sub>i</sub>) +  $\beta_{ASC}$ 

$$MS_i = \frac{e^{U_i}}{\sum_{J} e^{U_i}}$$



### Simple Interpretation of Vehicle Choice Experiment

Attribute	<b>Change Equal to</b>	
	\$1000 Increase in	
	Capital Cost	
Fuel Cost	\$-19.59 / month	
Fuel Availability	+ 8%	
Express Lane Access	+ 56%	
Power*	+ 4%	



### Other Choice Experiments at EMRG

- Home energy retrofit choice (Canada)
  - Weather stripping, insulation, double/triple paned windows
- Home heating system choice (Canada)
  - Heat pump, electric baseboard, oil, etc.
- Industrial heating system choice (Canada)
  - Boiler, cogeneration system, boiler retrofits
- Mode choice and road and parking charges (Vancouver)
  - Carpooling, transit, single occupancy
- Mode choice (Canada)
  - Single occupancy, transit, walk/cycle, park & ride
- Vehicle choice (Canada)
  - Hybrid, fuel cell, gasoline



### **Integrate Behaviour into CIMS**

- Incorporate results of discrete choice models into CIMS
  - Account for feedbacks throughout the economy
- Realistic representation of behaviour
  - How people choose between technologies
  - How they change their choices in response to a policy



# Some Sample Results (Transportation Policy)

#### **New vehicle emissions in Ontario:**





#### \$50/t CO2 tax

### Incentives for vehicle switching

- Increase other fuel availability
- Surcharge on gasoline vehicles
- Express lane access for hybrid and fuel cell



#### **Incentives for mode switching**

- Improving transit (reducing commuting time, waiting time, number of transfers)
- Reduce cost of transit 30%
- Increase cycling lane access

Energy & Materials Research Group / SFU



### **Sample National Results**



GHG reduction (MT CO<sub>2</sub>e)

7/9/2004

Energy & Materials Research Group / SFU 14



### Conclusions

- Hybrid models have:
  - Explicit representation of technologies
  - Realistic representation of behaviour
  - Macroeconomic feedbacks
- Allows simulation of real policies with realistic results