The Impact of Energy-Efficiency and Renewable Energy on Natural Gas Markets

24th Annual North American Conference of the USAEE/IAEE

Anna Monis Shipley ACEEE

Washington, D.C.

July 9, 2004



The American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy (ACEEE)

- Non-profit (501c (3)) dedicated to advancing energy efficiency through research and dissemination.
- 20 staffers in DC, Delaware, Michigan and Wisconsin
- Industry, Buildings, Utilities, Transportation, and National Policy
- Funding:
 - Foundation and Federal grants (50%)
 - Specific Contract work (20%)
 - Conferences (25%)

Energy Price Outlook

- Natural Gas are high likely remain high
- World Oil prices high likely remain high
- Coal facing new emissions restrictions and demand pressure – likely increase
- Electricity face upward price pressures fuel prices and demand driving price
- Energy has become an increasingly important business decision



Why is this happening & where will this lead us?

- Demand has out-stripped ability of suppliers
- Electricity demand puts pressure on other fuel markets (220GW new capacity)
- Demand likely to remain high domestically and globally (e.g., China)
- Energy markets moving from regional to global (e.g., Oil and LNG)



Industrial Fuel Prices



ACEEE Research Approach

- Sector estimates by State of the near-term (1 year) and mid-term (5 year) implementable potential for energy efficiency and conservation programs for:
 - 1. Natural Gas
 - 2. Electricity
 - 3. Renewable Resources

Calculated "reasonably achievable" savings based on sector end-uses (i.e. space heating, motors, lighting...)

Policy Scenarios Analyzed

| Region | Scenario Analyzed | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | Electric Efficiency | NG Efficiency | Renewable Resources | | |
| National (lower 48) | X | X | X | | |
| Pacific West* | X | X | X | | |
| Northeast/PJM** | X | X | X | | |
| New York | | | X | | |

*California, Oregon and Washington ** ME, MA, VE, NH, CT, RI, NY, NJ, PA, DE, and MD



Using EEA Natural Gas Model

- EEA respected, independent natural gas analysts – used for current and past NPC NatGas studies
- Fully integrated natural gas market model incorporating supply, transmission, storage and consumption at 106 nodes
- Using July 2003 projection as base case
- ACEEE modified consumption <u>only</u> model handles other issues (e.g., fuel switching, demand destruction)



Changes in National Natural Gas Consumption from EE & RE





Impacts of EE & RE on Annual Retail Natural Gas Prices



Changes in Industrial Gas Consumption



Benefits and Costs from Reductions in Energy Expenditures 2004–2008



Benefits/Costs Analysis

- Benefit/Cost ratio of approximately 3.4
- Investment dominated by electric efficiency and renewables measures
- Benefits predominately result from gas expenditure reductions
- Benefits result from combination of price reductions and consumption reductions



Wholesale Price Impacts

| Gas Prices (in 2002\$/MMBtu) | Change from EEA Base Case in 2004 | | Change from EEA Base Case in 2008 | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | Dollars | Percent | Dollars | Percent |
| Henry Hub | | | | |
| EEA July 2003 Base Case | | | | |
| ACEEE: National | -0.89 | -19.8% | -0.76 | -22.1% |
| ACEEE: Pacific West | -0.27 | -5.9% | -0.15 | -4.3% |
| ACEEE: Northeast/PJM | -0.28 | -6.2% | -0.21 | -6.0% |
| ACEEE: NY Renewables | 0.00 | 0.0% | -0.02 | -0.5% |
| New England | | | | |
| EEA July 2003 Base Case | | | | |
| ACEEE: National | -0.95 | -19.2% | -0.90 | -23.6% |
| ACEEE: Pacific West | -0.26 | -5.3% | -0.14 | -3.6% |
| ACEEE: Northeast/PJM | -0.35 | -7.0% | -0.36 | -9.3% |
| ACEEE: NY Renewables | 0.00 | 0.0% | -0.03 | -0.7% |
| Southern California | | | | |
| EEA July 2003 Base Case | | | | |
| ACEEE: National | -0.91 | -20.1% | -0.95 | -29.1% |
| ACEEE: Pacific West | -0.34 | -7.4% | -0.66 | -20.3% |
| ACEEE: Northeast/PJM | -0.28 | -6.1% | -0.15 | -4.7% |
| ACEEE: NY Renewables | 0.00 | 0.0% | -0.01 | -0.4% |



Changes in NE Hub Wholesale Prices Under Different Scenarios



NatGas Consumption Reductions In Midwest 2004-2008

NatGas Expenditure Reductions In Midwest 2004-2008

Impact of Expanded Renewables in New York State

Policy Solutions

- Energy efficiency performance targets
- Expanded federal funding for EERE implementation programs at DOE and EPA
- Appliance efficiency standards
- More efficient buildings through codes
- Support of clean and efficient distributed generation
- Renewable portfolio standards
- Public awareness campaign by state and national leaders

Conclusions

- We can do something about high natural gas prices - encourage energy efficiency and renewable energy
- Only viable near-term options supply options will take 2-7 years
- Electric efficiency critical because of expanded natural gas generation
- National decision makers need to lead NOW consumers are motivated but need direction
- Sooner we start the sooner states will see benefits

Future Natural Gas Analysis

ACEEE is preparing follow-on research:

- Update baseline and national impacts
- Extend analysis period to 2020
- Assess Midwest impacts
- Assess Pacific-West impacts

For Further Information

- Contact:
- Anna Shipley or Neal Elliott

ashipley@aceee.org or rnelliott@aceee.org

<u>http://www.aceee.org/energy/natlgas.htm</u>

