Electricity Access in Emerging and Developing Countries

Vijay Modi Dept of Mechanical Engineering and Earth Institute, Columbia University modi@columbia.edu

- Differences arise out of many factors
- Geography- settlement patterns, land-locked
- Target energy demand and power levels
- Material cost: transport/customs, scale, imports
- Standards for reticulation
- Costs of transition to smart/prepaid metering.
- First cost to connect: how to spread that
- Benefits of grid-like service
- Can Infrastructure be incremental?

- Cost differences that arise out of different factors
- Geography- settlement patterns, topography
- Target energy demand and power levels
- Material cost difference due to transport/customs, scale, ad-hoc imports
- Standards for reticulation
- Costs of transition to smart or prepaid metering.
- First cost to connect- how to spread that
- Benefits of grid-like service



a) Bonsaaso, GHANA
b) Tiby, MALI
c) Pampaida, NIGERIA
d) Potou, SENEGAL
e) Koraro, ETHIOPIA
f) Mwandama,
MALAWI
g) Mbola, TANZANIA
h) Mayange,
RWANDA
i) Ruhiira, UGANDA
j) Sauri, KENYA

¹ cm = 2 km





The impact of geography on energy infrastructure costs

Alex Zvoleff^{a,} • M, Ayse Selin Kocaman^b, Woonghee Tim Huh^b, Vijay Modi^c Energy Policy

Volume 37, Issue 10, October 2009, Pages 4066-4078



Myanmar: National Electrification Plan





- Cost differences that arise out of different factors
- Geography- settlement patterns, topography
- Target energy demand and power levels
- Material cost: transport/customs, scale, import
- Standards for reticulation
- Costs of transition to smart or prepaid metering.
- First cost to connect- how to spread that
- Benefits of grid-like service



Mean Monthly Energy Expenses per Household in USD



Mwandama 300, Ruhiira 300, Tiby 295

Deciles of Household Total Monthly Expenses on Energy (USD Includes Expenses on Kerosene Candles Fuelwood and Charcoal



- Cost differences that arise out of different factors
- Geography- settlement patterns, topography
- Target energy demand and power levels
- Material cost: transport/customs, scale, import
- Standards for reticulation
- Costs of transition to smart or prepaid metering.
- First cost to connect- how to spread that
- Benefits of grid-like service

STANDARDS and LEVERAGING LOCAL LABOR



- Cost differences that arise out of different factors
- Geography- settlement patterns, topography
- Target energy demand and power levels
- Material cost difference due to transport/customs, scale, ad-hoc imports
- Standards for reticulation
- Costs of transition to smart or prepaid metering.
- Benefits of grid-like service
- First cost to connect- how to spread that

Grid-like service? First costs: for connection + efficient appliances



GENERATION PRIVATE INVESTMENT

DISTRIBUTIONINSIDE WIRE/APPPUBLIC/CUSTOMERTARIFFFINANCEDFINANCED







Average Overall Energy Usage Over a Day for 45 Lowest Usage Circuits



Average Overall Energy Usage Over a Day for 5 Highest Usage Circuits





Moving Average with Regression line for Monthly Energy Usage for Systems in Ruhiira, Uganda





Modular, incremental with growth. Can lessons apply to grid?



Average Overall Energy Usage Over a Day for 45 Lowest Usage Circuits







Numerical experiments: performance

- ▶ 37% decrease in uncommited and curtailed demand
- ▶ 200% increase in revenue
- Robust control avoids extra 100 W capacity investment

Reliability: utility's commitment and curtailment



- commited_{it} = min{demand_{it}, limit_{it}}
- Utility possibly loses revenue by setting limits too low
- curtailed_{*it*} = commited_{*it*} supplied_{*it*}
- Utility pays curtailment cost by setting limits too high
 - Curtailment penalties $\eta_{it} \propto$ predictability

Energy and Agriculture (USAID support)

- Understand need, context, constraints of user and constraints of operator, finance
- Constraints: upfront cost, small land holdings, crop & water use varies, no grid power
- How to bring benefits enjoyed by large farms to groups of small farmers?



Cost/O&M/biz model/local/scale in mind





Logic/Power Elect/Control/Payment/Pump

- Microprocessor
- **Payment app**





Innovation Low maintenance + payment system

Local insight high utilization efficient water use

> Government/Private Scale, import duty, low-cost finance

Summary: Demand + Prioritization

- Can norms for future demand projections that are generalizable be developed? To what extent dictated by
- Income and/or per kWh tariff
- Tariff structure, any flat monthly fees, and/or tariff stage
- Additional productive demands and other social infrastructure (present or expected).
- Productive demands and when/how/what conditions they emerge?
- Country policies for industry/agriculture
- settlement size, promotion of appliances, scarcity of biomass, thermal comfort needs and electricity subsidy delivery mechanism.

Grid: costs, losses, subsidies, tariffs, transparent transactions, payment sys



East India



Example: Rajasthan 4.20 + 0.90 + 1.44 = Rs 6.64 = 10 c/kWh $\text{Loss} \rightarrow \text{Rs } 6.64 - 3.90 = 2.60 = 4 \text{c/kWh}$ Revenue includes Ag subsidy! Rs 3.90 is not the tariff but realization

transparent transactions, payment sys





