

CO₂ EMISSIONS, ENERGY CONSUMPTION AND OUTPUT: A COINTEGRATION ANALYSIS FOR IRAN

1-IIES, Iran, 00982122029351, alirezaghanbary@yahoo.com

2-IIES, Iran, 00982122029352, amohamadbagheri@gmail.com

The role of energy in the economic development process and economic growth particularly of developing countries is well known and documented in the literature. Countries use energy for production of goods and raise level their social welfare. So, energy use has the positive impact on development process, but it's has adverse effect too. Thus that energy use, lead to Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions such as hydrocarbons (HCs), sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and carbon dioxide (CO₂), that they are especially CO₂ cause of global warning [3]. One possible rational for this position is the presumption that economic development is closely related to energy consumption since higher output is expected when more energy is consumed, so output lead to GHG emissions. Such relationship between economic growth, energy use and GHG emissions occur only in earlier stage economic development. In fact, as a country develops, its energy use and so GHG emissions increases, but after reaching a specific level of economic progress, GHG emissions begins to decrease. In specific level of economic development process, the energy uses in the efficient form [11]. This tenet calls for a careful analysis of the relationship between economic growth, energy use and GHG emissions. This link is obviously very complex and depends on many different factors such as the size of the economy, the sectoral structure including composition of the energy demand, vintage of the technology, environmental quality demand and level (and quality) of environmental protection expenditures, [15].

Over the past two decades, the relationships between economic growth and CO₂ emission, as well as economic growth and energy consumption, have been intensively analyzed. The first nexus is closely related to testing the validity of the so-called environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis. A recent and emerging line of literature seems to incorporate both nexuses into multivariate framework. This approach facilitates the examination of the dynamic relationships between economic growth, energy consumption and CO₂ emission altogether, [8].

This paper reviews the dynamic causal relationships between CO₂ emission, energy consumption, and output for Iran using an integrated framework for the period 1972-2007. The vector error-correction model (ECM) and auto regressive distributed lags (ARDL) modeling techniques have employed for the empirical analysis. The empirical analysis is included two stages. In the first stage, it examines how the variables are related in the long-run. The second stage, it examines the dynamic causal relationships between the variables. The empirical results provide evidence for the existence of a robust long-run relationship between these variables. So that, CO₂ emission and energy use are positively related to output in the long-run. The results also indicate that the elasticity of CO₂ emission with respect to energy consumption in the long- run is less than it's elasticity in short-run. This result is reverse for elasticity of CO₂ emissions with respect to income. The results also point to a unidirectional causality running from energy consumption to CO₂ emission. According the empirical results, suggest that Iran should design new environmental policies to reduce CO₂ emission.

REFERENCES

1. Ang, J. B., 2007. CO2 emissions, energy consumption, and output in France. *Energy Policy* 35, 4772-4778.
2. Brown, R. L., Durbin, J., Evans, J. M., 1975. Techniques for testing the constancy of regression relations over time. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 37, 149-163.
3. Douglason G. (2008). Causality Between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal Sciences* 5(8): 827-835.
4. Enders, Walter (1995). "Applied Econometrics Times Series", John Wiley and sons, New York.
5. Engle, R. F., Granger, C. W. J., 1987. Co-integration and error correction: representation, estimation, and testing. *Econometrica* 55, 251-276.
6. Green, W.H.(2000), "Econometric Analysis" Macmillan, New York University
7. Grossman, G. M., Krueger, A. B., 1995. Economic growth and the environment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110, 352-377.
8. Halicioglu, F (2008). An econometric study of CO2 emissions, energy consumption, income and foreign trade in Turkey. MPRA Paper No. 11457.
9. Hamilton, J.D (1994), "Times Series Analysis", Princeton university Press, Princeton.
10. Jalil, A., Mahmud, S. F., 2009. Environment Kuznets curve for CO2 emissions: a cointegration analysis for China. *Energy Policy* 37, 5167-5172.
11. James, B. Ang (2008). Economic development, pollutant emissions and energy consumption in Malaysia. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 30, 271–278
12. Jobert, T.Karanfil, F.(2007). Sectoral energy consumption by source and economic growth in Turkey. *Energy Policy*, 35, 5447–5456
13. Johansen, S., Juselius, K., 1990. Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration - with applications to the demand for money. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 52, 169-210.
14. Liu, X., 2005. Explaining the relationship between CO2 emissions and national income - the role of energy consumption. *Economic Letters* 87, 325-328.
15. Paraskevopoulos, D. (2009). An Empirical Analysis of the Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis Over Two Centuries: Evidence from the UK and US. Department of Economics University of Macedonia Thessaloniki, 11-28.
16. Pesaran, M.H. and B.Pesaran (1997), "Microfit 4.0: An Interactive Econometric software package", Oxford University Press.
17. Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y., Smith, R. J., 2001. Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 16, 289-326.
18. Richmond, A. K., Kaufman, R. K., 2006. Is there a turning point in the relationship between income and energy use and/or carbon emissions? *Ecological Economics* 56, 176-189.
19. Soytaş, U. Sari, R & Ewing, B. (2007). ANALYSIS Energy consumption, income, and carbon emissions in the United States. *ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS*, 62, 482– 489
20. Soytaş, U., Sari, R., Ewing, T., 2007. Energy consumption, income, and carbon emissions in the United States. *Ecological Economics* 62, 482-489
21. The World Bank, 2007b. World Development Indicators, Washington, D.C.