

*Paola Garrone<sup>1</sup>, Lucia Piscitello<sup>2</sup>, Yan Wang<sup>3</sup>*

## **ENERGY INNOVATION AT THE COUNTRY LEVEL: THE ROLE OF CROSS-COUNTRY KNOWLEDGE SPILLOVERS**

<sup>1</sup> POLITECNICO DI MILANO, Italy, 00390223992742, paola.garrone@polimi.it

<sup>2</sup> POLITECNICO DI MILANO, Italy, 00390223992740, lucia.piscitello@polimi.it

<sup>3</sup> POLITECNICO DI MILANO, Italy, 00390223993987, yan1.wang@mail.polimi.it

### **OVERVIEW**

The main objective of the paper is to explore the role of cross-country knowledge spillovers in energy innovation. The analysis examines the country-level patenting activity in renewable energies. Special attention is given to knowledge spillovers stemming from countries that are technological leaders.

Most theoretical studies have represented technological change in the energy sector as driven mainly by innovation activities and climate policy actions that are undertaken in the home country (e.g. [1], [2], [3]). Namely, [4] have examined the effect of public energy R&D and other climate policies on the patenting activities of advanced countries in a set of renewable energies. They have found that policy instruments have a different effectiveness in different technological fields.

Our paper is an attempt to continue along this line of analysis, by adding international knowledge spillovers to the determinants of a country's energy innovation. First of all, we claim that public energy R&D carried out abroad positively affects the home country patenting activity in renewable energies, the greater the linkages among countries. Secondly, we hypothesize that these knowledge spillovers are greater whenever they stem from countries that are technological leaders in renewable energy technologies considered.

Cross-country knowledge spillovers (measured by the intensity of international trade, the presence of foreign multinational enterprises and/or other cross-country linkages) have been already recognized to have a significant impact on a given country's innovation activity (e.g.[5]), also in the energy sector (e.g.[6], [7], [8]). Additionally, the few empirical studies on international linkages and energy technological change focus on the diffusion of new technologies rather than on the innovation stage (e.g.[9]). In another environmentally-friendly sector (i.e. air control systems), [10]uses patent data to show that the innovation activity of advanced countries benefits from earlier foreign patents, although foreign innovative activities do not substitute for domestic innovation. For the same technologies, [11]find that countries that are more open to international trade are more likely to adopt environmental regulations, and to provide access to environmental technologies developed in other countries.

### **METHODS**

Econometric analysis is conducted using patent data on a panel of industrialized countries over the 1980-2006 period. Specifically, energy innovation is proxied by patent count in different renewable energy sources ([12]). As far as our explanatory variables, data on renewable energy R&D budgets come from the IEA energy database ([13]), while cross-country knowledge spillovers have been modeled as the sum of R&D in renewable energies conducted in other countries weighted by trade flows between countries (relevant data come from the UN Comtrade database). Additionally, in order to take into account the role of international technological leadership in renewable energies, we relied on the RTA (revealed technology advantage) index ([14]).

## RESULTS

Our preliminary results seem to confirm our hypotheses, thus paving the way to further exploration along this line of research.

## REFERENCES

1. van der Zwaan, B.C.C., Gerlagh, R., Klaassen, G., Schratzenholzer L. (2002). Endogenous technological change in climate change modelling, *Energy Economics*, Vol.24, 1-19.
2. Popp, D. (2004). ENTICE: endogenous technological change in the DICE model of global warming, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, Vol.48, No 1, 742-768.
3. Popp, D. (2010). Innovation and climate policy, NBER Working paper No.15673.
4. Johnstone, N., Hascic, I., Popp, D. (2010). Renewable energy policies and technological innovation: evidence based on patent counts, *Environmental and Resource Economics*, Vol.45, 133-155.
5. Branstetter, L. (1998). Looking for international knowledge spillovers: A review of the literature with suggestions for new approaches, *Annales d'Economie et de Statistique*, No 49/50, 517-540.
6. OECD, 2008, "Environmental innovation and global markets", Environment Directorate, ENV/EPOC/GSP(2007)2/FINAL, OECD, Paris.
7. Dechezleprêtre, A., Glachant, M., Hascic, I., Johnstone, N., Ménière, Y. (2009). Invention and transfer of climate change mitigation technologies on a global scale: a study drawing on patent data, FEEM Working Paper No. 82.2009.
8. Bosetti, V., Carraro, C., Massetti, E., Tavoni, M. (2008). International energy R&D spillovers and the economics of greenhouse gas atmospheric stabilization, *Energy Economics*, Vol. 30, 2912-2929.
9. Popp, D., Hascic, I., Medhi, N. (2009). Technology and the diffusion of renewable energy, draft, The Maxwell School, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY.
10. Popp, D. (2006). International innovation and diffusion of air pollution control technologies: the effects of NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> regulation in the US, Japan, and Germany, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, Vol.51, 46-71.
11. Lovely, M., Popp, D. (2008). Trade, technology and environment: Why do poorer countries regulate sooner? NBER Working paper No.14286.
12. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2008) OECD patent database. OECD, Paris.
13. International Energy Agency (2006c) Energy technology research and development database. IEA, Paris, France (<http://data.iea.org>)
14. Cantwell, J. (1995). The globalization of technology: what remains of the product cycle model? Cambridge Journal of Economics, Vol.19, 155-174.