

# ***IMPACT OF REGULATION ON RENEWABLE ENERGY DEVELOPMENT: LESSONS FROM THE FRENCH CASE***

Cyril Martin de Lagarde, Université Paris-Dauphine, PSL Research University, LEDa, CGEMP, Paris, France,  
Phone: +33 6 66 57 41 75, E-mail: cyrildelagarde@gmail.com

Frédéric Lantz, IFPEN, IFP School, Centre for Economics and Management, Rueil-Malmaison, France,  
Phone: +33 1 47 52 68 68, E-mail: frederic.lantz@ifpen.fr

## **Overview**

The development of renewable energy sources (RES) is necessary to address global warming through the reduction of green-house gases emissions, and thus to achieve a sustainable development of our economies. In the electricity sector, developing RES are mainly wind power and solar photovoltaics (PV). Their development is now quite advanced in Europe, which has announced RES shares targets to be reached during the next decades: 20% by 2020 and 27% by 2030; but still, these energies often need to be subsidised. However, the cost of these public subsidies being usually passed on to consumers, such subsidies tend to increase the cost of electricity for final consumers. Also, as most of newly installed renewable production is connected to the distribution network (95% in France), many investments need to be made at the distribution level in order to adapt it to this new environment, the cost of which is also borne in part by consumers through the distribution tariff. It is therefore crucial for both policy makers and distribution system operators (DSO) to understand the dynamics of RES development and its main drivers, including the effect of regulation, in order to promote green energies in the most efficient possible way.

A very popular way of subsidising electric RES has been the use of feed-in-tariffs (FIT), which guarantee a fixed price for each kWh produced over a certain duration (typically 15 to 20 years). As many other countries, France has had such tariffs for over a decade, before switching to feed-in-premiums at the beginning of 2017 for most new installations. In addition, France has implemented an original framework to share network reinforcement charges between RES installations of capacity higher than 100kW, through regional RES connection schemes. These schemes aim at avoiding so called deep connection charges (i.e. individual payment of network reinforcement charges caused by the connection to the grid) and hence remove some barriers to entry created by the deep-cost approach. Also, by creating an equivalent of a regional tax on installed capacity, such schemes provide a locational price signal aiming at a more efficient use of the available network capacity.

Additionally, financial issues are not expected to be the only drivers of renewable development. Indeed, considering RES as relatively new technologies, their spreading is likely to follow an intrinsic diffusion process, which will be influenced in particular by regulation. Such a dynamics is expected to exhibit contagion and stock effects, as often described in the literature. Also, the implementation of the aforementioned regional connection schemes might create inter-regional dependencies as a result of possible location arbitrages for “large producers”, and should be taken into account as well.

## **Methods**

The aim of this paper is to disentangle various effects influencing the development of RES in France. For this purpose, we use a database kindly provided by Enedis, which is the DSO for 95% of French clients. Our data consists of all connection applications by RES producers to Enedis, with information such as capacity of the installation, date of application, and location. We aggregate this data at the regional level in order to study the diffusion of small-scale (< 3 kW) PV diffusion at the quarterly time step, taking into account changes in the proposed FIT. We assess the heterogeneity of this dynamics between regions by estimating seemingly unrelated regressions (SUR). We also model the dynamics of diffusion of wind energy (> 100 kW) at the regional level and quarterly time step and measure both the influence of network reinforcement charges and inter-regional dependency thanks to a dynamic spatial panel model.

## **Results**

Some first data analysis shows that agents act in a rather rational way. This is confirmed by a sound econometric treatment, after which we observe a significant and positive impact of FIT on the deployment of small-scale PV in almost all regions, with a strong heterogeneity between regions. We also disentangle this purely financial impact from epidemic and stock effects, that are also mainly significant. The analysis of wind energy diffusion shows that network reinforcement charges have a negative impact on the number of connection requests, as

expected, while the implementation of the RES connection schemes has a global positive effect on connection requests, which lets us think that the goals of this policy have been at least partly achieved.

## Conclusions

Energy production is a highly regulated world, in which RES have some specific regulation. Understanding how some rules affect the development of renewable energy is highly important in order to support them efficiently and hence achieve an energy transition at the lowest possible cost. This paper examines the impact of two French regulation instruments on two different technologies, namely small-scale PV and “large” wind energy facilities. We show that the regulation has had the expected impact on the two dynamics, using two econometric modelling approaches that can be adapted to other situations.

## References

- Anaya, Karim L., and Michael G. Pollitt. ‘Integrating Distributed Generation: Regulation and Trends in Three Leading Countries’. *Energy Policy* 85 (October 2015): 475–86
- Balta-Ozkan, Nazmiye, Julide Yildirim, and Peter M. Connor. ‘Regional Distribution of Photovoltaic Deployment in the UK and Its Determinants: A Spatial Econometric Approach’. *Energy Economics* 51 (September 2015): 417–29
- Bass, Frank M. ‘A New Product Growth for Model Consumer Durables’. *Management Science* 15, no. 5 (1969): 215–27
- Bentham, Arthur van, Kenneth Gillingham, and James Sweeney. ‘Learning-by-Doing and the Optimal Solar Policy in California’. *The Energy Journal* 29, no. 3 (2008): 131–51
- Bollinger, Bryan, and Kenneth Gillingham. ‘Peer Effects in the Diffusion of Solar Photovoltaic Panels’. *Marketing Science* 31, no. 6 (November 2012)
- Bowen, Eric, and Donald J. Lacombe. ‘Spatial Dependence in State Renewable Policy: Effects of Renewable Portfolio Standards on Renewable Generation within NERC Regions’. *The Energy Journal* 38, no. 3
- Cherrington, R., V. Goodship, A. Longfield, and K. Kirwan. ‘The Feed-in Tariff in the UK: A Case Study Focus on Domestic Photovoltaic Systems’. *Renewable Energy* 50 (1 February 2013): 421–26
- Couture, Toby, and Yves Gagnon. ‘An Analysis of Feed-in Tariff Remuneration Models: Implications for Renewable Energy Investment’. *Energy Policy* 38, no. 2 (1 February 2010): 955–65
- Dharshing, Samdruk. ‘Household Dynamics of Technology Adoption: A Spatial Econometric Analysis of Residential Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Systems in Germany’. *Energy Research & Social Science* 23 (January 2017): 113–24
- Dijkgraaf, Elbert, Tom P. van Dorp, and Emiel Maasland. ‘On the Effectiveness of Feed-in Tariffs in the Development of Solar Photovoltaics’. *The Energy Journal* 39, no. 1 (1 January 2018)
- Elhorst, J. Paul. ‘Dynamic Spatial Panels: Models, Methods, and Inferences’. *Journal of Geographical Systems* 14, no. 1 (1 January 2012): 5–28
- Graziano, Marcello, and Kenneth Gillingham. ‘Spatial Patterns of Solar Photovoltaic System Adoption: The Influence of Neighbors and the Built Environment’. *Journal of Economic Geography* 15, no. 4 (July 2015): 815–39
- Guidolin, Mariangela, and Cinzia Mortarino. ‘Cross-Country Diffusion of Photovoltaic Systems: Modelling Choices and Forecasts for National Adoption Patterns’. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 77, no. 2 (1 February 2010): 279–96
- Jenner, Steffen, Felix Groba, and Joe Indvik. ‘Assessing the Strength and Effectiveness of Renewable Electricity Feed-in Tariffs in European Union Countries’. *Energy Policy*, Special Section: Transition Pathways to a Low Carbon Economy, 52 (1 January 2013): 385–401
- Liu, Yang, and Taoyuan Wei. ‘Market and Non-Market Policies for Renewable Energy Diffusion: A Unifying Framework and Empirical Evidence from China’s Wind Power Sector’. *The Energy Journal* 37, no. 1 (1 September 2016)
- Müller, Sven, and Johannes Rode. ‘The Adoption of Photovoltaic Systems in Wiesbaden, Germany’. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology* 22, no. 5 (1 July 2013): 519–35
- Schaffer, Axel J., and Sebastian Brun. ‘Beyond the sun—Socioeconomic Drivers of the Adoption of Small-Scale Photovoltaic Installations in Germany’. *Energy Research & Social Science* 10 (1 November 2015): 220–27
- Snape, J. Richard. ‘Smart Grids, Local Adoption of Distributed Generation and the Feed in Tariff Policy Incentive’, June 2013
- Snape, J. Richard, and Dr C. Rynikiewicz. ‘Peer Effect and Social Learning in Micro-Generation Adoption and Urban Smarter Grids Development?’, 21 June 2012
- Zhang, Yu, Junghyun Song, and Shigeyuki Hamori. ‘Impact of Subsidy Policies on Diffusion of Photovoltaic Power Generation’. *Energy Policy* 39, no. 4 (1 April 2011): 1958–64